1	SYCAMORE TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
2	TOWNSHIP ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
3	8540 Kenwood Road
4	Monday, August 19, 2019
5	6:30 p.m.
6	
7	BOARD MEMBERS
8	Jim Eichmann, Chairman
9	Ton Scheve
10	Ted Leugers
11	Jeff Heidel
12	Steve Scholtz
13	Julie Glassmeyer
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(A sworn oath was administered.)
2	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Our next case for the
3	evening is SYCB190010 out of Archbishop Moeller
4	High School, 7745 Kennedy Lane and 7755 Kennedy
5	Lane. It's for a conditional use. Our same
6	procedure will be used as we used in the last
7	case. So mute your phones or turn them off
8	again if you haven't done that.
9	MR. SCHEVE: If I could just raise a
10	preliminary issue. Mr. Barrett the attorney
11	for Moeller, has raised the issue as to whether
12	or not I should recuse myself and he wrote a
13	letter to Mr. Miller, the law director,
14	suggesting that because we have had over the
15	years, four decades, that we've had a number of
16	cases where we have been on opposite sides.
17	And some have been very contentious and some
18	have not. And Mr. Barrett is suggesting or
19	asking that I may not be impartial in this

MR. BARRETT: If you want me to.

to recuse myself or not?

20

21

22

25

MR. SCHEVE: Well, that's up to you.

Don't say anything really defamatory about me.

case. I don't know, Mr. Barrett, do you want

to add anything to that before I decide whether

1	MR. BARRETT: I'm C. Francis Barrett. Law
2	firm of Barrett and Weber. My address is 1201
3	Mercantile Center, 120 East Fourth Street
4	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202, and I, of course,
5	represent Archbishop Moeller High School,
6	Archbishop Cincinnati Trustee and 7745 Kennedy
7	Lane, LLC. And I had spoken with I spoke to
8	Doug Miller two or three weeks ago about the
9	fact that I thought maybe Tom Scheve had a
10	conflict and should recuse himself. Last week
11	he asked me to send him a letter and I did.
12	I'd like to just read this for the record.
13	It's addressed to R. Douglas Miller, Esq. Law
14	Director of Sycamore Township c/o Donnellon,
15	Donnellon & Miller, LPA, 9079 Montgomery Road,
16	Cincinnati 45242-7717. And it references
17	Archbishop Moeller High School, Sycamore
18	Township, Board of Zoning Appeals, Case No.
19	SYCB190010.
20	It says, "Dear Doug, I am sending this
21	letter to you as you requested concerning my
22	belief that Tom Scheve should be recused from
23	participating as a member of the board of
24	zoning appeals in the above captioned case in
25	which I represent Archbishop Moeller High

1	School. This is not to impugn his integrity in
2	any matter. However, because of the fact that
3	I have had many adversarial cases over the past
4	four decades including the present time in
5	which I represented property owners and which
6	Mr. Scheve represented government, I do not
7	believe that he could objectively participate
8	in a case where I represent a client property
9	owner. I understand Mr. Scheve has an
10	obligation to represent his clients vigorously,
11	but due to the adversarial nature of our system
12	and due to the fact that he's always been on
13	the opposite side and further that a number of
14	these cases have been very contentious, I do
15	not see how he can completely fair, impartial,
16	and unbiased with hearing a case that I
17	presented to the board of zoning appeals where
18	he is a sitting voting member. I understand
19	that Sycamore Township has a process for
20	alternates where such conflicts arise. I
21	believe the best course of action would be for
22	an alternate to sit in Tom Scheve's place on
23	this case. It would certainly avoid the
24	appearance of a conflict. If you need any
25	additional information please do not to

1	hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your
2	attention in this matter. Sincerely, Barrett &
3	Weber. Fran Barrett, C. Francis Barrett
4	attorney for Archbishop Moeller High School."
5	MR. SCHEVE: We'll put a copy of the
6	letter in the record for you.
7	MR. BARRETT: Okay.
8	MR. SCHEVE: You know, you're aware
9	obviously that Moeller High School came in, I
10	guess, whatever it was, two or three months ago
11	with the plan that this board denied it three
12	to two. And I made a motion to deny it.
13	Is your interest in having me step aside
14	in anyway related to the fact that the first
15	time around I voted against the plan?
16	MR. BARRETT: Absolutely not.
17	MR. SCHEVE: Well, is there any other
18	reason that you think I would not be fair
19	merely because we both have been doing our duty
20	and vigorously representing our client's
21	interest? I think that we've been you said
22	four decades, we've been nothing but cordial.
23	You've been nothing but professional to me in
24	all those 40 years and I think I've done the
25	same to you. You have a good reputation and I

1	think I do as well. I don't think because you
2	and I have been on opposite sides that affects
3	my ability to judge the merits of your client's
4	case. Even assuming I had some ill feelings
5	towards you, which I don't, that wouldn't
6	affect my judgment on your client's case.
7	So given that, as much as I'd like to go
8	home, I'm not going to recuse myself, but I
9	appreciate your effort, Mr. Barrett. I don't
10	hold it against you in any way. We'll do so in
11	the future.
12	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: And I assume you
13	didn't let me swear you in because you're an
14	attorney and you always tell the truth. You
15	don't have to be sworn in.
16	MR. BARRETT: That's correct.
17	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you very much.
18	MR. MILLER: If he's not sworn in, his
19	presentation is to be considered argument not
20	evidence before the board. I'm sure he'll have
21	other witnesses to testify.
22	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: This information
23	included you're saying.
24	MR. MILLER: Pardon?
25	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: This information

1 included, this exchange? 2 MR. MILLER: Yeah. It's part of the 3 record, but it's not bearing on the merits of the appeal. 5 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Okay, thank you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I sent a letter --7 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: I'm sorry, it's not a time for the public comment yet. 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I sent a similar 9 10 letter to the trustees. I did not know I 11 needed to send it to Doug Miller. And I asked 12 that all the people that went to Moeller that 13 are on this board and continue to support 14 Moeller excuse themselves and that alternatives 15 be brought in. So I'm just putting this out 16 there for the record. I did not know I needed 17 to send it to Doug. And if it needed to be, I 18 would assume your trustees would have sent it 19 to Doug. 20 MR. HEIDEL: I went to Moeller and I don't 21 feel as if I'm one way or the other. 22 MR. MILLER: For the record, as did I. 23 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: She's not recognized 2.4 yet, but I don't why we should recognize that. 25 MR. SCHEVE: So I didn't. So the people

1	in favor went to Moeller are on and people
2	opposed to it went to Xavier and Sycamore
3	people are not.
4	MR. SCHOLTZ: I'm not from Cincinnati. I
5	went to high school in other places.
6	MR. MILLER: Then you don't get it.
7	MR. SCHOLTZ: You're right.
8	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: I'll make this
9	statement and hear the case first. We live in
10	Sycamore Township. We are on this to represent
11	the people who live here and we know everybody.
12	I knew half a dozen people in the previous
13	case. We're sitting here as representatives of
14	the public. We don't report to the Township.
15	We're the only committee on the Township that
16	doesn't report to the trustees. We are
17	appointed by trustees. We don't report to
18	them. We are here for the residents to hear
19	your concerns and your needs as property owners
20	and properly address that because you're
21	usually here because you disagree with an
22	ordinance or you disagree with one of the rules
23	of the committee. So I'll state right up
24	front, we all have impact and knowledge and
25	participate in everything everybody that's

1 here, I think, half the cases at least. either lived near these people, worked with 2 them, know them personally. We're in meetings 3 together. A couple of people are in the rotary 5 with me that were in the last meeting, it happens all the time. So we are trying to be as impartial as we can and honest as we can and 7 that's how we represent ourselves. So I think Tom's statement is fair. My statement's fair. 10 Your statement's fair. We would and have in 11 the past recused ourselves if we had a personal 12 commitment or connection to cases.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The company I worked for was in here and I thought I was totally impartial in dealing with that. Moeller's been here before and we've all voted impartially in that before and we changed some of the things that people who we know and see everyday are part of. So I think we're doing a really -- as best the job we can to represent you and that's who we represent. We don't represent the trustees. Trying to represent the resolutions as they exist with the conditions we're given. But in no way are we -- if we were going to have trouble, we would have recused ourselves before we got to

this meeting. So hopefully that. 1 2 MR. MILLER: Ma'am, could we have your 3 name fore the record since you're. MS. ENGELHART: I'm Linda Engelhart. I live at 9015 Shadetree Drive. 5 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thanks very much. Back to where we were. We've sworn in 7 8 everybody we could. We've approved the minutes. And now we're back to the Moeller 9 10 resolution or the Moeller applicant of Moeller 11 High School for conditional use. So, Harry, 12 that's all yours. 13 MR. HOLBERT: Thank you. So the case in 14 front of you tonight is SYCB190010 for 7745 and 15 7755 Kennedy Lane. The request is for a 16 conditional use. The applicants are 7745 Kennedy Lane, LLC, Archbishop of Cincinnati 17 18 Trustee. The locations are the same. 7745 19 Kennedy Lane and also 7755 Kennedy Lane. 20 current zoning is B single-family residential. 2.1 Zoning compliance issues. So per Section 22 17, it requires schools and related accessory 23 uses to be conditional uses. Conditional use 2.4 for the proposal in front of you tonight, the

applicant is requesting approval of two

1	conditional uses. The first request to convert
2	a portion of a residential property, 7755
3	Kennedy Lane, into a parking lot for
4	institutional use

The second request is to convert a vacant residential property 7745 Kennedy Lane into a parking lot for institutional use. The total land area proposed is 1.7482 acres. That would be used to install a new 117 parking space parking lot with surrounding landscaping, fence and Bollard lighting.

Conditional use. The letter C means that this use is permitted in the indicated zoning district provided it lists in Table 17-12 in Chapter 17. Also in Table 3-2. The table of permissible use or any other part of this resolution with a conditional use zoning certificate issued by the office the zoning inspector certifying the following items: A, approval of the proposed conditional use by the board of zoning appeals pursuant to the standard and procedures set forth in Chapter 17 and that the plat and plans for the proposed use comply with all other applicable provisions as of the Sycamore Township Zoning Resolution

including all conditions of approval.

2.4

So basically what I'm showing here is this is -- Table 3.2 is listed above showing schools, you basically take that chart over to the zoning district, in this case AA through C, D falls right in between there. It shows all of these based on any of the intensity levels all would be under conditional use approval.

So outlined in green is the partials in question. They are zoned residential. Also here showed outlined in green and an overview of the site showing the main athletic and academic facility Moeller High School.

Now, I want to just go back a step just to refresh the board's memory. The first site plan that was submitted for the Moeller parking lot expansion for a conditional use was 130 parking stalls. The new proposal is for 117 parking stalls. In addition, the foot candle chart that was provided at that point based on the fixtures that you see here, they were all still met the code requirements of zero foot candles at the property line. The proposed which actually shows Bollard type lighting as indicated on the plans, since the fence is

1	taller than those, the foot candle doesn't get
2	outside of the fence itself. So it's all
3	contained on site

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The previous boundary buffer. In this case staff did review this as a side yard where a boundary buffer would be required between adjoining properties. So here's the previous plan. Here's the proposed plan. So just to go back. So as you look at some of the areas, they basically broke it down into trees and shrubs. They've got a legend here. Basically, the previous one exceeded the zoning requirements for boundary buffer. As you go to the proposal in front of you now, there's actually an excessive amount of landscaping. They've also added some areas here for gravel. They've added this fence and revised it to 8-feet tall to the 6-foot tall and they've landscaped as you can see all around the site itself and some additional interior landscaping in this area.

So basically the applicant did provide some colored renderings on what the proposed parking lot would look like from the outside.

So when you look at the north the view from the

1	outside of the parking lot, the north, the
2	south, showing the trees, the evergreens, same
3	thing from the view from the outside of the
4	parking lot in this elevation. Basically this
5	is just a color rendering of the proposed black
6	and white landscaping landscaping plans you
7	saw previous. Again, locating the flowering
8	trees, the earth berm, security webcams, and so
9	on and so forth. So they've broken it up
10	giving you a full indication of what their
11	intent it. Eight-foot tall fence as I
12	mentioned before. They also indicate the
13	property line on this plan.

As far as the plant and landscape elements, they also provided colored photographs of those. The Bollards itself have a light included in them and then the fence is an 8-foot tall vinyl wood grain type texture.

So the general consideration was for a conditional use under Chapter 17. You're referring to 17-6 A, B, C, and D. The spirit of intent. No adverse affect. Protection of private interest. Consistent with adopted plan. So for the first one, spirit and intent, is a proposal used within the spirit and intent

1	of the zoning resolution in the district
2	proposed; yes. Adverse affect proposed use of
3	the development shall not have an adverse
4	affect on the adjoining properties for the
5	public health, safety, morals, and general
6	welfare. Based on the applicant's submitted
7	drawings, the applicant is proposing to
8	minimize any adverse affects on the proposal.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Protection of public interests. proposed use and development shall respect to the greatest extent practical by nature scenic and historical features of significant public interest. The applicant is proposing to outline their properties with a tremendous amount of landscaping, an eight-foot tall privacy fence, and Bollard type light fixtures and install a storm water detention system. Consistent with the adopted plans, the proposed use of the development shall applicable and consistent with objectives, policies, and plans related to the land use adopted by the board of trustees. The proposed two lots and their use if approved will be consistent with the existing 13.08 acres site to the south. Basically Moeller High School.

1	Also, in addition to that specific
2	criteria pertaining to conditional use under
3	17-7. In addition to the general consideration
4	contained in 17-6, each condition used is
5	subject to one or more of the following
6	criterias as identified in Table 17-12. The
7	following list contains all of the specific
8	criterias with each proceeded by a number and a
9	reference in Table 17-12.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So basically in 17-12, there's a list of items that pertain to specific conditional use applicants. One would be a school. Another would be a government facility and so on. So they each have specific items that the review is based on. In this case we have Item 12, Item 15, 15-A. This one's 15-C and then 19. So measures shall be taken to minimize the impact of a potential nuisance such as noise, odor, vibration, and dust on adjacent properties. Applicant has provided a detail plan addressing watershed, buffering, and lighting. Landscaping shall be installed in accordance with one of the following buffers as described in detail in Chapter 14. In this case A Boundary Buffer A shown in Figure 14-A.

1 And	then	also	streetscape	shown	in	Figure	14-C
-------	------	------	-------------	-------	----	--------	------

- 2 The applicant has met and exceeded the
- 3 requirement of the township's boundary buffer
- 4 requirements were applicable.

5 Signage shall be regulated as follows:

- One sign permitted at a maximum of 32 square
- 7 feet. The applicant has requested no signage.
- 8 All exterior lighting shall be directed away
- 9 from the adjacent residential property. The
- 10 applicant has met these requirements.

11 So just some additional comments on those

12 two reviews. So refer to the previous slides

13 regarding general considerations for

14 conditional use also a specific criteria

15 pertaining to conditional use and zoning code

review. So that's how I did the total review

of this. So there's actually three things. So

in reviewing this, like I said, staff

19 considered this application as a side yard and,

therefore, no streetscape is required. There's

21 no street to be considered. Also in staff's

opinion there's no need to provide interior

landscaping due to the site being totally

2.4

enclosed surrounded by a fence and landscaping

25 materials. So the whole intent with interior

1	landscaping is the break up these sea of
2	landscaped areas from the public. In this case
3	this is a closed site and a 8-foot tall privacy
4	fence with landscaping around it.

2.4

Additional items to be considered by the BZA. So this is based on staff's review of the plans. Reduce the parking stalls to 16-and-a-half feet with a minimum and obstructed overhang area of 3 feet. So right now they have 19-foot deep stalls with an in-graded curve. The code allows them to go 16-and-a-half feet and to overhang 2-and-a-half feet of their vehicles into that area. That area that they have here is actually gravel. So as long as there's not landscaping up against the vehicle, then they're allowed to go that 2-and-a-half feet. That's just one.

Landscaping areas be required to have a sprinkler system installed and maintained. Yearly cleaning of all landscaped areas proposed in this application. Yearly review of drainage areas to insure proper function. The inter-stalls to be a minimum of 19-foot deep by 9-foot wide. And let me just clarify that for the board. So as you look at the outer parking

1	stalls. Those are the ones that I was talking
2	about where you can go 16-foot deep because it
3	overhangs into the gravel area. These are the
4	interior stalls which I'm speaking of in
5	regards to being 19-foot deep because you don't
6	want to have an overhang if they're going to
7	abut car to car. So it's important that we
8	have at least that 19-feet there.

9 MR. SCHEVE: Where's Kennedy Avenue on this?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HOLBERT: Kennedy is up here. The entry drives to the new parking area to have existing landscaping removal within 20 feet of each site or modify the area to be shrubs and ground cover. Basically, this is what we would refer to as a site triangle with any new development. As you go in and out of there, we don't want any landscaping to be in the way of the driver coming out onto approaching traffic. Directional signs being installed. For example, those would be entrance and exits. No cell or communication towers on the campus site. So in case the campus site would be all of Moeller not just these two that are looking at the conditional use, but Moeller in general.

1	And that includes the following that the
2	following lots be consolidated with this
3	application. So I've listed all of the
4	miscellaneous lots that are part of Moeller in
5	addition to the two that you're looking at now.
6	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: In reference to your
7	earlier notes, their landscaped areas and the
8	sprinkler and the yearly cleaning, you're
9	talking about around the outside of the fence?
10	MR. HOLBERT: Correct.
11	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Is that right?
12	MR. HOLBERT: Uh-huh.
13	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: And that is all on
14	Moeller's property?
15	MR. HOLBERT: It is as far as I know in
16	looking at the plan.
17	MR. HEIDEL: What's the distance of the
18	fence to Kennedy?
19	MR. HOLBERT: I'll refer that to the
20	applicant.
21	MR. SCHEVE: Could you tell me what the
22	basic differences are between the original
23	plan, what I call the first plan and the second
24	plan? You've told us that they're reducing the
25	parking spaces from 130 to 117, smaller spaces

1	and they proposed extra landscaping and reduced
2	lighting, right?
3	MR. HOLBERT: Correct.
4	MR. SCHEVE: Are those the main changes in
5	the plan or are there others as well?
6	MR. HOLBERT: Let's go back here. Those
7	are the main changes. As far as the drive
8	aisles, the drive aisles remain the same, which
9	is what code requires. As far as the
10	landscaping material like you mentioned, yes,
11	the landscaping material has been increased.
12	The number of parking stalls has been reduced.
13	And then the light fixtures have actually been
14	removed altogether and now they've added
15	Bollards. This was something that was done
16	right down the road at the Mercedes Benz. And
17	what the Bollards do is they provide
18	illumination and safety for the parking lot
19	itself once you remove any type of overhead
20	type lighting. So they're still able to
21	provide security, I think, or at least
22	illuminate the area where the students are
23	parking. And also in looking at it's going to
24	be less evasive for the residents altogether
25	because they shouldn't see any lighting whether

it's a vehicle coming into the site or these 1 lights here, one is an eight-foot tall fence. 2 3 Most projections from a vehicle go straight. They're not angled off and they don't bounce 5 over fences. So it should be direct in and direct out. MR. SCHEVE: What is the status of the 7 first plan. Is that currently in litigation? 8 9 MR. HOLBERT: It is. 10 MR. SCHEVE: So this is probably more of a 11 legal question to Mr. Miller or Mr. Barrett. 12 How do we proceed on the second plan while the 13 first plan is in litigation? Somebody want to 14 tell me that? 15 MR. MILLER: Well, they submit an 16 application -- before we get to that can I 17 verify one thing because I can't remember. 18 Harry, I know you were because I saw you raise 19 your hand and say it, but you were sworn in 20 before any of your testimony; is that correct? 21 MR. HOLBERT: I was. 22 MR. MILLER: It's difficult. We have the 23 first application is under appeal. I argued to 2.4 the court who I had filed a motion for a 25 declaratory judgment in that action requesting

1	that the court declare that the second
2	application be Res Judicata that's a whole
3	law school class, I'm sorry for the
4	non-attorneys in the room. The judge in the
5	course of our discussion back and forth said
6	that she did not feel she had jurisdiction to
7	issue that in the first case since this was a
8	separate appeal and that that was an issue for
9	the board of zoning appeals to first decide.
10	MR. SCHEVE: She wants us to decide if
11	it's Res Judicata?
12	MR. MILLER: You can, yes. There are
13	cases where the board of zoning appeals has
14	determined that the case is Res Judicata.
15	MR. SCHEVE: So she wants five non-lawyers
16	and one semi-lawyer to decide if the case is
17	Res Judicata?
18	MR. MILLER: It's been done. I understand
19	the issue that it's, like I say, it's a law
20	school class at least to understand. And I'll
21	let Mr. Barrett if he has anything to add to
22	that also.
23	MR. SCHEVE: Let me ask you this. As you
24	saw in the first case in Sycamore, they came in
25	originally with a plan that we didn't really

like and we didn't vote on it, we continued it

and came in with a plan that we did like and

approved it.

2.4

This case we voted down a plan that three of us didn't like, and they appealed it, and now they're coming in with a second plan. And it may be that we like the second plan, but let's say hypothetically we approve the second plan, what affect does that have on the litigation currently before the court of common pleas?

MR. MILLER: Well, it could potentially render it mute except if there is appeal of your second decision approving the plan. Now, we have two appeals in the common pleas court, one of which the township is, well, we're defending both decisions, but we're arguing against the parking lot in one case and for it in another. Quite honestly I don't know where that leaves me.

MR. SCHEVE: So why -- when Mr. Eichmann read the first minutes, we continued the Ryan case, which is in litigation. We continued that over and over. We haven't taken any other action on it because it's in litigation. Why

1	shouldn't we just continue this case until the
2	first case is decided by the court?
3	MR. MILLER: Well, that's up to the board.
4	MR. SCHEVE: The court could decide we
5	were wrong. It was three to two and it was
6	pretty hotly contested as I recall and the
7	court could reverse it. So let me ask you
8	another question: What if the court reverses
9	the first decision and we approve the second
10	plan, then we have an approval for the first
11	plan and the second plan, what are you going to
12	do then?
13	MR. MILLER: I don't know.
14	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Can a nonlawyer ask a
15	question?
16	MR. SCHEVE: Preferably.
17	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: So we're really being
18	challenged to determine if this is really a
19	different plan. In layman's terms, we have to
20	decide
21	MR. MILLER: I think that is an issue
22	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: If this is a different
23	plan.
24	MR. MILLER: I think that is an issue for
25	the board to decide

1	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: If this looks like
2	it's going to be a different plan to all of us,
3	we could hear this plan.
4	MR. MILLER: if you consider that there
5	this is not substantial changes from the first
6	plan, then you've already made a determination
7	on this. Some people might argue that it is
8	not. Obviously the school would argue that it
9	is. But that's the first hurtle that you have
10	to get over.
11	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: It's still a parking
12	lot. It's still on the same piece of property.
13	It's just defined differently by spaces,
14	fences, lighting, buffering.
15	MR. MILLER: As I see it and, again, I
16	will let them supplement, but the difference
17	being fewer parking spaces from 130 to 117,
18	that's a 10 percent reduction. I think higher
19	fence in different locations, more landscaping,
20	and the lights are now Bollard lights versus
21	light poles. But the concept of parking in the
22	residential area is the same.
23	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Is that something that
24	maybe we could decide on a vote before we have
25	the hearing to determine if of the majority

1	of the feelings on this case?
2	MR. MILLER: I think you can do whatever
3	you want to do in this case. I think if you're
4	inclined to do that, you should probably hear
5	from Mr. Barrett on the other side.
6	MR. SCHEVE: Well, I think we should
7	probably hear from the applicant as to the
8	proposed plan and then we can decide whether
9	the proposed plan is significant.
10	MR. MILLER: And that's the thing. There
11	may be additional evidence that comes out that
12	they would like to present.
13	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Make it be
14	MR. MILLER: That might change show
15	some other change.
16	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: So we could table that
17	vote that I just asked about until after we
18	hear at least Mr. Barrett.
19	MR. MILLER: You never a made a motion to
20	have the vote in the first place so technically
21	you're not tabling it, but you could hold it in
22	abeyance until you hear the evidence before
23	you.
24	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Tom, I'm sorry, go
25	ahead.

1	MR. MILLER: The other option that you
2	have as Mr. Scheve said I mean, you've got
3	about four or five options here. You can deny
4	it. You can approve it. You can determine
5	it's Res Judicata in which case you never get
6	to the actual merits of whether you like this
7	plan or not because you've already made a
8	decision on the plan. And you can continue it
9	until in progress until you get a result on the
10	first case.
11	MR. SCHEVE: Well, I just don't see how
12	we're going to say something's Res Judicata.
13	Like you said it's a law school question. Ask
14	me what the elements of Res Judicata, I'd be
15	hard to tell you right now, but I think we
16	should hear from Mr. Barrett's client about
17	what the plan is, how it differs, and then
18	maybe you can address my concerns, Mr. Barrett
19	as to
20	MR. BARRETT: Let me try and answer all
21	the questions that you raised.
22	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: So I'll come back to
23	my original question, should you be sworn in?
24	MR. BARRETT: You can swear me in if you

want to, but I don't think it's appropriate as

1 an attorney. 2 MR. MILLER: He's arguing. 3 MR. SCHEVE: He's an attorney. MR. MILLER: Whatever he says is not 5 evidence. He's presenting his argument on behalf of Moeller. MR. BARRETT: I got involved in this case 7 8 over a year ago, it was actually I think it was 9 June of last year when the first plan was 10 turned down. And when I got involved I looked at the record and I looked at some of the 11 12 issues. And it was apparent to me that major 13 changes were made in the plan that could be 14 acceptable. I tried to withdraw the 15 application so we could submit a revised plan. 16 I was told I could not withdraw the application 17 because it already had been acted upon. So I 18 had no choice to protect ourselves, to protect 19 the school, but to appeal that decision in 20 court. 21 When it got to court, I even asked the 22 court to remand the case back to Sycamore 23 Township Board of Zoning Appeals for us to

submit an amended plan but there was opposition

to that, from Mr. Miller and others. So

2.4

1	notwithstanding that, we embarked on a course
2	of trying to come up with a much better plan.
3	If the issue which is going to control, we will
4	gladly dismiss the first case and go with the
5	better plan on the second case that should not
6	be an issue.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But to address the major point of what changes have been made, and, again, as a matter of policy, my experience of doing this for many, many, many, years, communities oftentimes will turn someone down and say we would have approved it if you had done A, B, and C, bring us back another plan. They don't say, no matter what you come back with we're not going to approve it. Many times there's specifics whether it's a shopping center, whether it's a subdivision, whether it's an office park, or whatever, many times the zoning boards, BZA's, so forth, have issues and say we're going to have to turn this plan down. You bring back a better plan and we'll consider it and here's our concerns.

Anyway, we made major -- eight major changes material changes in the plan. First one was we reduced the number of parking spaces

1	from 130 to 117 spaces which is a reduction of
2	13 spaces or 10 percent.
3	Number two, the implementation of an
4	eight-foot high perimeter border fence
5	increased from the previously proposed six-foot
6	high perimeter fence. This fence will be
7	constructed of sound absorption material.
8	That's another change. It is recognized that
9	an eight-foot high fence will be part of the
10	variance with an additional 2 feet. This
11	higher fence is proposed strictly for the
12	benefit of the neighboring properties.
13	Number three. Increase the buffer on the
14	west side of the site, that's the sensitive
15	side, from 10 feet to 20 feet which is an
16	increase of 100 percent along the entire
17	western property line to protect the closest
18	residents from any impact.
19	Number four. Implementation of enhanced
20	landscape plantings on the perimeter to include
21	additional trees and shrubs.
22	Number five. Implementation of a
23	staggered fence line on the perimeter to
24	accommodate increased landscape plannings on

the exterior of the fence and to provide

L	enhanced	esthetics	for	the	benefit	of	the
2	adjoining	g propertie	es.				

2.4

Number six. Use of mounding on the perimeter for increasing the height of the fence and for providing additional screening all for the benefit of the neighboring properties.

Number seven. Reduction in the height of the proposed lighting fixtures from tall light posts, 25 feet to low level Bollard lights, 4 to 6 feet.

Number eight. Implementation of an improved drainage system for the benefit of the neighboring properties. Including the use of a french drain system that involves a subsurface perforated drainage system on the perimeter to improve stormwater control which will alleviate stormwater problems in the vicinity.

Those are the eight major changes. And, again, I would say that it's not Res Judicata if you make material changes. The purpose of the Res Judicata. It's called administrative res judicata not traditional res judicata.

Administrative res judicata which applies to boards of zoning appeals, is to prevent

L	somebody from coming back with the same plan
2	over and over again hoping that the
3	same plan somehow might get approval. The
1	purpose is to prevent that.

2.4

Conversely, if an applicant is sensitive to the concerns that the BZA expressed is sensitive to the concerns of the neighbors and brings back a much improved plan, that's a material change that the board can consider. So that's our position.

MR. SCHEVE: Are you saying that you're willing to dismiss the pending appeal in the court of common pleas?

MR. BARRETT: We will dismiss it as soon as we get approval for the current plan. The reason I can't dismiss it -- I don't know what's funny about that. The reason we can't dismiss the court case right now is because of this issue that's hanging out there that that would be Res Judicata. I don't have any problems dismissing it at the appropriate time.

MR. SCHEVE: Well, if you dismiss the first case and we approve this, the issue -- who would raise the issue of Res Judicata other than a potential intervener?

1	MR. BARRETT: That's correct. That's
2	exactly correct. And there is an intervener in
3	this case.
4	MR. SCHEVE: Well, in the first case in
5	the case pending there's an intervener there?
6	MR. BARRETT: Yes.
7	MR. SCHEVE: So they could conceivably
8	intervene in this case?
9	MR. BARRETT: Yes.
10	MR. SCHEVE: As I understand the appeal,
11	you and Mr. Miller meet with the judge and the
12	judge like every judge says why can't you
13	settle this case and stop bothering me, right?
14	MR. BARRETT: Yes.
15	MR. SCHEVE: And the trustees have the
16	authority to enter into some compromise as to
17	what we did the first time. So if this is a
18	good plan, the trustees think it's a good plan,
19	why couldn't the trustees and you in an effort
20	to compromise your original appeal and just
21	agree to that and it will go away. And as I
22	said in the first case, if the residents didn't
23	like what the trustees did, they can change
24	them at the next ballot.
25	MR. BARRETT: If that would work that

1 would be workable.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Sounds like we have a variance to deal with though. It's a conditional use but a variance on the fence.

MR. MILLER: The matter is actually, the magistrate who's handling this and what happens is when you have an administrative appeal, it goes to the common pleas court and they assign a magistrate and a judge to the case. magistrate always hears all of the matters first, trying to keep the judge's docket down. So we have had several hearings in front of the magistrate, one of which was on their motion to remand. And I will just correct one thing that at the time the motion was filed there was not a second plan and that's why the township opposed that in particular because we didn't know what they were asking to remand and that was early on in the case before they had come up with this plan.

Be that as it may, the magistrate at the last hearing that we had on a number of motions had indicated that she would like to see the parties take this case to mediation which we have scheduled for a week from Thursday, I

think it is. So there may be something come of 1 what you're saying. I don't know. 2 3 MR. SCHEVE: Do you have an independent 4 mediator? 5 MR. MILLER: Yes, Carl Stich. MR. SCHEVE: So he's a former judge. So 7 you both would go to him and he would probably 8 try to talk sense into both of you. 9 MR. BARRETT: He wouldn't have to with me. 10 MR. SCHEVE: I'm just troubled by deciding 11 a second case when a first case is still 12 pending. 13 MR. MILLER: And what happened was I think 14 that hearing when the magistrate was very happy 15 when we said we would mediate it occurred, that 16 was in June, I think, Mr. Barrett. We had a 17 difficult time getting dates and a mediator. 18 Moeller had agreed, they were originally 19 supposed to be in here in July. And they had 20 agreed to push it off to August figuring we 21 would probably have that mediation out of the 22 way at this point and we do not. 23 MR. SCHEVE: So not to give away your 2.4 bargaining position, the trustees are willing

to do something, I assume, in order to resolve

the pending case or you can't say? 1 2 MR. MILLER: It's going to be difficult. 3 If there's an agreement arrived at, it's going to be very difficult. 5 MR. SCHEVE: So the mediation may not be very successful though. You don't know -- you 7 don't know until it happens. MR. MILLER: You never no. 9 MR. SCHEVE: I know. I've been through 10 those. You think there's no end in sight and 11 all of a sudden you agree on something. The 12 floor's your, Mr. Barrett. Sorry. 13 MR. BARRETT: We'll go ahead and present 14 our case if that's okay. Let me say something 15 on a preliminary note. 16 MR. MILLER: Are we done with the 17 preliminary stuff because I actually had some 18 questions for Harry and I didn't know if 19 Mr. Barrett had questions for him. 20 MR. BARRETT: Go ahead, Doug. 21 MR. MILLER: Harry, can you go back to 22 that screen where you had the four 23 considerations? So you have an answer to all four of these questions. Is that in your 2.4

25

opinion?

1 MR. HOLBERT: Correct. MR. MILLER: Now, consistent with adopted 2 3 plans. The property is zoned residential; is it not? 5 MR. HOLBERT: It is. MR. MILLER: Is there any plan that shows 7 an institutional use on this property? Land use plan. 9 MR. HOLBERT: Basically it shows that it's all residential. And the whole intent with 10 making a school conditional use is so it can be 11 in a residential neighborhood. 12 13 MR. MILLER: But the plans that are at the 14 township show residential? 15 MR. HOLBERT: Correct. 16 MR. BARRETT: Doug, that classification 17 conditionally allows a school. 18 MR. MILLER: I understand. I'm just 19 saying there are plans that the township that 20 also show institutional uses on them, correct? 21 The Jewish Hospital property? 22 MR. HOLBERT: I don't recall if that's zoned institution or not. I think that's 23 2.4 actually office Double 00. 25 MR. MILLER: Okay, that's all.

1	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Mr. Barrett.
2	MR. BARRETT: Yes. I just want to say
3	that over the years I have represented all
4	types of property owners, everything from
5	single-family homes to very intensive
6	industrial uses. I've also represented a
7	number of institutional uses: Schools,
8	hospitals, places of worship, of all multiple
9	denominations, athletic complexes, playfields,
10	golf courses, cemeteries. I can say
11	confidently that you will never find a finer
12	institutional citizen than Moeller High School.
13	I'm extremely pleased to represent Moeller.
14	Virtually all the cases I handle are referrals
15	typically from other attorneys, other
16	developers, civil engineers, consultants, and
17	there's no greater honor than when a fine
18	institution like Moeller High School is
19	referred to me. So I'm extremely pleased and
20	proud to represent them.
21	I would also note that when I got involved
22	in this case I felt that there were a lot of
23	legitimate concerns that the neighborhood had
24	and recommended they retain the services of a
25	highly skilled registered landscape architect

and they proceeded to do that and he's made

major changes in the plans. And I can't think

of a single parking lot anywhere in greater

Cincinnati which has greater buffering than

this parking lot would have.

2.4

And, again, a school is a conditionally permitted use in this district. This is an accessory use to a permitted use or to a conditionally permitted use. It is not a principal use. It's a accessory use. It's about a passive a use that a conditional user could make of the property.

I mentioned all the major changes that have been made. And, again, I think not only are those significant in material, but they legitimately address every concern. The one concern we can't address is I just don't want it. Some people have expressed to us I just don't want it and there's no way to deal with that. Objectively we would ask you to look at the objective criteria. I think the staff report has identified conclusively that we meet all of their criteria for conditional use approval. I would reference the application we submitted, the revised application was

Τ	submitted by the cover letter is dated May
2	13th. It was received here in the township on
3	May 14th and we submitted a complete
4	application. A complete application for the
5	zoning certificate. We paid the fee. The
6	letter of the intent which I'll get to in a
7	second. We listed the eight major changes in
8	the site plan. We indicated the statement
9	explaining the requirements of Archbishop
10	Moeller High School for additional parking.
11	And we submitted multiple drawings. There are
12	11 sets of detailed drawings. Everything from
13	site plans to landscape plans to building
14	elevations, again, all to show how sensitive
15	the school has been in trying to address their
16	concerns.
17	With respect to the our detailed letter
18	of explanation which is in your materials, I'd
19	just like to reference some of the key points.
20	And, again, the purpose of this is to provide
21	needed parking for students. Many students are
22	forced to park off campus. Have to walk to and
23	from school under very undesirable unsafe
24	conditions. And they need to do resolve that

in doing so does promote the general welfare.

Again, as far as uses are concerned, this is about a passive as a use as you can find.

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

As far as the zoning code itself is concerned as I've noted, a school is a conditional use. And this property is zoned B Residence. It's a conditional use and B Residence district. And a parking lot is an accessory use to that conditional use, so it's even less of an intensive use than a pure conditional use. Under the general standards, general considerations for additional uses which are mentioned in the staff report, the first one is the spirit and intent. And, again, this meets the spirit and intent of the zoning district as it is an accessory use to the conditionally permitted use of a school. The zoning resolution recognizes that the school and accessory is a complete parking area is conditionally permitted in this subject zoning district and the school has undertaken every effort to ensure that all beneficial elements to the neighbors have been implemented.

No adverse affect. And, again, there is no adverse affect at all. With the increased

L	buffer, the reduced heights of the lights, the
2	increase of the fence, the adding of the
3	mounding of the fence even higher. As Harry
1	explained there is excessive landscaping.
5	There is no adverse affect. And, again, the
õ	general welfare of the public safety are
7	promoted by allowing school children to have
3	safe access to and from school and not be
9	required to walk extended distances.

The protection of public interest. Again, this developer respects to the greatest extent practical, all of the issues with regard to land use and planning. Again, as the landscape architect will explain to you, you'll never find a finer landscape plan than this one.

Mr. Miller raised the issue of consistency with adopted plans. I would note, again, that the property is zoned B Residence. A school is a conditionally permitted use in the B Residence district and the parking lot is an accessory use to that conditionally permitted use. The Sycamore Township Land Use Plan references this property as being in the area designated, quote, Montgomery Road Corridor, unquote. And within this area which is noted

1	as the Montgomery Road Corridor, it's dominated
2	by, quote, public semi-public institutional,
3	unquote, primarily south of the subject
4	property. To the east of the subject property,
5	the proposed land use is mixed-use
6	transitional. The subject property itself is
7	within a proposed land use area designated
8	transitional residence. So, again, I would
9	submit that this very passive parking is fully
10	consistent with that.
11	In terms of the specific criteria with
12	regard to a specific conditional use, those are
13	referenced in our letter of explanation.
14	Criteria 12 states, quote, measures shall be
15	taken to minimize the impact of potential
16	nuisances such as: Noise, odor, vibration, and
17	dust on the adjacent property, end quote. And,
18	again, those have been almost fully mitigated.
19	There should be no noise, odor, dust,
20	vibration, which would negatively impact any
21	property in the area.
22	Criteria 15A refers to the boundary of
23	buffer. As Mr. Holbert has explained, the
24	buffer's actually excessively mounded,
25	landscaped and planted. The fence being 8-feet

1	high as opposed, it certainly exceeds all
2	necessary elements. 15C is called streetscape
3	buffer. Since the property is not adjacent to
4	any streets, no streetscape buffer is required.
5	Criteria 16C permits us to have one sign of 32
6	square feet. No signage is permitted
7	whatsoever. Criteria 19 which is the last one
8	says, quote, all exterior lighting shall be
9	directed away from adjacent residential
10	properties, end quote. Not only is it directed
11	away, it's not even visible with these low
12	level Bollard lights only 4 feet or 5 feet in
13	height below the mounting below the 8-foot
14	fence. As Mr. Holbert said lighting is
15	completely invisible to the neighbors.
16	So having made those points, just want to
17	note that Moeller High School has been at this
18	location literally for about 60 years. It's
19	doors opened in September of 1960. It was in
20	the planning stages before that. And it's my

perspective a tremendous neighbor, a tremendous
aspect to the community. This is a needed -this is not an unnecessary request. This is a
needed request for the safety of school
children. Nothing's more important or

paramount to parents and administrated and teachers than the safety of the school children and the current conditions really have resulted in very unsafe conditions.

2.4

And I noted from looking at the record last time some of the neighbors who were in opposition said there's no safety beat because no students have been hit by a car as of yet, no accident reports. Well, there's the old maximum, an accident waiting to happen. You don't want unsafe conditions to lead to an accident before you take action. My fear that this situation is not rectified, there will be accidents especially with the improvements which the City of Montgomery and department of transportation is proposing a roundabout at the intersection of Montgomery Road and Cross County Highway.

So with those preliminary comments, I'd like to ask the president of the school,

Marshall Hyzdu, to come forward and make a very brief presentation on behalf of the school emphasizing the need for this parking and explaining the current conditions. Marshall, if you'd come up here.

1	MR. MILLER: Fran, while he's doing that
2	can we clarify one thing that it's in your
3	letter here and I think you might even agree
4	with me on this. It says, the subject property
5	is to the west of the offices fronting on
6	Montgomery Road in your location section, but
7	there's actually a residential parcel in
8	between those.
9	MR. BARRETT: That's correct. We are to
10	the west of that. We're to the west of
11	Montgomery Road. We're to the west of the
12	offices including your office.
13	MR. MILLER: Right. But it's not abutting
14	those offices.
15	MR. BARRETT: No, it is not.
16	MR. MILLER: There's a residential
17	property in between.
18	MR. BARRETT: Right.
19	MR. HYZDU: Good evening. My name is
20	Marshall Hyzdu. I'm president of Moeller High
21	School and my address is 8100 South Clippinger
22	Drive. So presently there are approximately
23	MR. MILLER: Wait a minute, you've been
24	sworn in, Marshall?
25	MR. HYZDU: Yes, sir, I have. Presently

there have been approximately 390 parking spots on the school's campus. We need to accommodate the parking needs of our 870 students plus our faculty, staff, administrators, visitors, and guests. Hence, there is present demand and immediate need for 150 to 200 more parking spots than we currently have. And on the area proposed for additional parking north of the school, 130 spaces were shown this number has now been reduced to 117 in order to provide additional setbacks, separation, landscaping, and related improvements for the benefit of our neighbors.

2.4

Also as requested by the neighbors as well as this group, we pursued further the possibility of using All Saints Church property to our south to accommodate our parking needs. In the year since the last time we met, they have denied that request three times, unfortunately.

As a consequence, we need to pursue our request for additional parking because it is needed and we must eliminate the present unsafe and undesirable circumstances of our students parking off campus and having to walk to the

school from those locations. More than a hundred of our students are parking off campus on a daily basis and then having to walk to school. It is neither safe nor desirable for students who park in downtown Montgomery then walk to and from school along Montgomery Road and have to negotiate the interchange of Montgomery Road and Ronald Reagan Highway. is also not desirable to have our students parking at business locations in the general area and then walking substantial distance. Moreover, it is not desirable for our students to be parking in the neighboring residential subdivisions where the homeowners and residents do not want what they consider to be an intrusion in their neighborhood and use other streets by outsiders.

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

They best and only solution is additional parking on campus and after taking into consideration and concerns expressed by our neighbors, on one hand, but also realizing the importance and necessity of this additional parking for our school, on the other hand, we retain the services of a highly skilled landscape architect to redesign the parking to

- 1 make it fully compatible with the neighborhood.
- MR. BARRETT: I have a handout here that
- 3 I'll ask Mr. Hyzdu to explain.
- 4 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Is there any questions
- 5 for Mr. Hyzdu?
- 6 MR. SCHEVE: Well, if I could after
- 7 Mr. Barrett passes out his things. Yeah, this
- 8 is sort of what I wanted to get at. You said
- 9 you need 150 more spaces, but you're now only
- asking for 117. So even if we gave you what
- 11 you're asking for, would you come back next
- 12 year and say we need more -- you say you need
- 13 150, but you're only asking for 117. But then
- 14 you said, reduce it from 130. More than a
- 15 hundred students walk to school. So if you had
- more than hundred walking to school that would
- 17 need a place to park. You say you need 150,
- 18 but you're only asking for 117. Aren't you
- going to be in the same predicament that you
- are now even if we do approve it? You won't
- 21 have enough parking.
- MR. BARRETT: Well, first of all, it will
- 23 reduce the impact --
- MR. SCHEVE: That was a question.
- MR. BARRETT: I'm going to explain it.

- 1 MR. SCHEVE: I know, but I asked the 2 witness the question.
- MR. HYZDU: So what it will do it will
 reduce the amount of parking that we have or it
 will reduce the amount of students walking from
 downtown Montgomery and hopefully it will be
 the majority of that problem. If we can solve
 the entire problem we would do that, but we
 don't have plans for that.
- MR. SCHEVE: So matter what we do you're
 still going to have a problem, right? How are
 you going to solve the problem entirely without
 doing something else?

15

16

17

18

19

20

- MR. HYZDU: Well, we're going to continue to look at all of our options. We're going to continue to talk to All Saints as a potential option there. But, again, this is the best option that we have. We've looked at several different options including property across the street. We're going to continue to look at options.
- MR. SCHEVE: Why has All Saints not been cooperating? Can't the Catholic institutions get along better?
- MR. HYZDU: I could ask you to talk to

- 1 Father Jaspers on that one.
- MR. SCHEVE: What has he told you why?
- 3 He's, I guess, afraid of his grade school
- 4 students being hit by cars?
- 5 MR. HYZDU: No, not all. What they're in
- 6 the process of doing -- they're in the process
- 7 of creating a strategic plan for their own
- 8 school. And so instead of committing up front
- 9 to converting part of their green space to
- parking lots, they want to refrain from doing
- that until they understand what the strategic
- 12 plan is.
- MR. SCHEVE: Mr. Barrett?
- MR. BARRETT: Yeah, Mr. Scheve, we had an
- open house back on February 27th and the
- neighbors asked us to pursue vigorously
- 17 permission from All Saints to require land from
- 18 them to park on. And Mr. Hyzdu made numerous
- 19 efforts and was not successful. If the
- township could bring the pressure on All
- 21 Saints, that would be fine with us. But we've
- 22 exhausted the school --
- MR. SCHEVE: How would we do that?
- 24 MR. BARRETT: You indicated that someone
- should get along. And if you had the ability

- to persuade Father Jaspers, we would be very 1 2 pleased if you could do that. 3 MR. SCHEVE: I haven't seen Father Jaspers
- in 50 years. I'm not sure if he'd remember me. 5 Go ahead.
- MR. HYZDU: So the document that you have in front of you that first page, really just 7 kind of lays out that we currently have 390 9 spaces. 113 of them were for faculty and 10 staff. Currently 275 of them are for seniors 11 and juniors and we have two visiting spots. 12 Currently have about 180 students. 660 of them
- 13 are of driving age of the sophomores, juniors, 14 and seniors. And then the need is 140 to 200.
- 15 About 140 for the sophomores. And then seniors
- 16 and juniors, we estimate potentially an
- additional 50 students as well as visitors of 17
- 18 10 parking spots.
- 19 MR. SCHEVE: So the driving age is still
- 20 16; is that right? So freshman and sophomores
- 21 would be -- you'd have some sophomores driving
- 22 at that age?
- 23 MR. HYZDU: Yes.
- 2.4 MR. SCHEVE: Not freshman. They wouldn't
- 25 be old enough?

1	MR. HYZDU: Correct. The second sheet on
2	here is a map. We actually presented this last
3	time. This shows you where the dark blue areas
4	are. Shows you where we have found our
5	students to be parking off campus. Everywhere
6	from across the street to down Kennedy Lane, to
7	some businesses all the way down to public
8	parking in Montgomery.

And then the final four pages are renderings of the roundabout. The first one is the end solution for Montgomery. In this situation what you're actually going to need is if a student parks, say at Awakenings or in public parking in Montgomery on the west side of the street, they're going to have to cross Montgomery Road at the crosswalk near Awakenings. Walk up the sidewalk on the east side of the street. Eventually when they get to the roundabout, cross the roundabout, continue to walk down the sidewalk. And then at Kennedy Lane cross where there's a red light currently.

And so that is the final stage of this.

The other three pages kind of show you the transitions; Pages I, II, III, as well as IV

1 and the difficulty of these.

2.4

So in Phase I, it will actually be very similar to the situation now which students would have to cross the intersection of Cross County Reagan Highway and Montgomery Road both people getting on the highway as well as getting off.

Phase II. This is when additional work is being made. The students will have to walk around on the east side of the street. If you see that blue line walking around and then eventually they're going to have to cross again at Kennedy Lane at the red light.

Phase III is a similar situation where they continue to have to walk around on the east side of the street and cross at Kennedy Lane.

And then Phase IV, they're going to have to actually walk through the work area, again walking around this with these dotted lines they say is going to be pedestrian during that time, but walk through two different work areas and they cross the street at the red light. So not only is this dangerous today, it will be certainly dangerous over the next year and a

```
half, two years of the construction of the
 1
 2
          roundabouts. And then certainly once the
          roundabout is here it will become even more
 3
          dangerous for our students.
 5
               MR. MILLER: Why is it more dangerous?
               MR. HYZDU: More dangerous; when it's
 7
          completed.
               MR. MILLER: Have you seen the -- how old
 9
          is this drawing?
10
               MR. HYZDU: A few weeks.
11
               MR. MILLER: Have you seen the pedestrian
12
          rendition on the Montgomery website?
13
               MR. HYZDU: So the city manager walked us
14
          through this a few weeks ago so this was the
15
          latest rendering that they had for us, so I've
16
          not seen that but this is what --
               MR. MILLER: Harry, did you bring that
17
18
          drawing?
19
               MR. HOLBERT: Which drawing?
20
               MR. MILLER: Off of the Montgomery
          roundabout when it's finished.
21
22
               MR. HOLBERT: I don't have that.
23
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, I have
2.4
          that.
```

MR. MILLER: All right. Then we'll get to

- it later. Off the website? I don't believe
 this is accurate, but we'll find out.

 MR. HYZDU: Yeah, I'll go with the city
- 3 MR. HYZDU: Yeah, I'll go with the city 4 manager on that.
- 5 MR. BARRETT: Any questions for Mr. Hyzdu?
- 6 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Took the words right
- 7 out of my mouth.
- 8 MR. HEIDEL: How about the property
- 9 between the fence and Kennedy? What's that
- going to be used for? How close is that or how
- 11 far is it?
- MR. HYZDU: So there's currently two
- houses on those properties on the north side of
- 14 those -- where the parking lot is and so
- there's no changes.
- MR. HEIDEL: Stay there as houses?
- MR. HYZDU: Stay there.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: No other questions.
- 19 Thank you very much.
- MR. BARRETT: I'd like to call Peter
- 21 Kimener.
- 22 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Do I recall you being
- sworn in too, sir?
- MR. KIMENER: I was.
- MR. BARRETT: Peter, will state your full

1	name and spell your last name?
2	MR. KIMENER: Robert Peter Kimener,
3	K-I-M-E-N-E-R.
4	MR. BARRETT: Your address?
5	MR. KIMENER: I live at 6612 Madeira Hills
6	Drive, Cincinnati.
7	MR. BARRETT: And what is your
8	relationship to Moeller High School?
9	MR. KIMENER: I had two sons graduate from
10	there. I'm on the board and I am the chair of
11	the facilities committee.
12	MR. BARRETT: What is your relationship or
13	understanding with regard to properties
14	proposed for the parking area? Can you give
15	the board a historical perspective?
16	MR. KIMENER: As the facilities chairman
17	on the board, my job is to provide for the
18	maintenance and upkeep of the facilities as
19	well as trying to allow the facilities to
20	accomplish Moeller's mission to educate the
21	young men that go there. So it's dependent on
22	the facilities that are up-to-date or underway
23	with the project right now to increase the
24	classrooms there so that the academics can be
25	accomplished in a better environment.

1	Historically, I was on the facilities
2	committee back in 2012 and I was sitting in the
3	principals office at the time, Blane Collison
4	and he took a call from Margee Clarke who owns
5	7765. And Margee offered and wondered if
6	Moeller would be interested in buying her home.
7	And I just ironically happened to be there with
8	Blane when that call took place. And he turned
9	to me and said, "What do you think?" And I
10	said, "Absolutely. We'd love to talk to Margee
11	about buying her residence." So we went over
12	and met with her and ended up with an agreement
13	with her to buy her home and.
14	MR. BARRETT: What use did you have

MR. BARRETT: What use did you have proposed for her property?

MR. KIMENER: We were going to transfer the brothers and priests that live in the institution on the north wing to a beautiful home that Margee owns. We were going to remodel it and we commissioned an architect before we actually closed on the property because we have a time constraint with doing other things with the north wing. So in preparation for the closing, we commissioned an architect to remodel the space that the

- brothers and priests were in in the school.

 And the priests and brothers wanted a chapel in

 Margee's home and some other things, which we

 spent time and money for him to do in

 preparation for the closing and the possession

 of Margee's home.

 MR. BARRETT: And what happened?

 MR. KIMENER: Within a couple of weeks of
- MR. KIMENER: Within a couple of weeks of
 the closing date that we had, Margee called
 Blane and Bill Hunt and, I think it was Bill
 Hunt at the time, the president, and said she
 changed her mind and she didn't want to sell
 the home. And that, needless to say, created a
 quite a stir for us because we had these plans.
- MR. SCHEVE: What year are you talking about?
- 17 MR. KIMENER: 2012.
- 18 MR. SCHEVE: 2012.

are today.

25

MR. KIMENER: So we spent a lot of money
with an architect. Maybe we shouldn't have.
We should have waited, but we didn't because we
had a timeline to meet. So Margee had her own
reasons to do that. We were friends. We
became friends over the negotiations and still

1	But she did agree to sell the adjacent
2	property at 7755 and we did close on that. My
3	wife and I bought that home. And we rented
4	that home. And the last renter was Dave
5	Broxterman who rented it for about a year and a
6	half and wanted to buy it. So he came to us to
7	buy the home. And since things had changed, we
8	decided to sell the home, but he didn't want to
9	pay the price that we had paid for it. So in
10	order to allow him to buy the home, we chopped
11	off the back half of the property. So that
12	transaction could be more amenable to him and
13	he could buy the home and we took the back
14	parcel and donated it to Moeller High School
15	for their future use. And when I did that I
16	talked to David because he asked me about what
17	would be the use of that back half that you're
18	going to donate. And I said, "Well, if I
19	donate it, I don't have a use. It's not mine
20	any more." But some of the conversation was
21	with a botany faculty member to turn it into a
22	greenhouse. There was tennis courts mentioned
23	and possibly parking. And he went ahead with
24	us selling him the home with that kind of
25	information which was sketchy at best because

- 1 there were no plans.
- 2 So he bought the home. We donated the
- 3 property. And then David and Peggy Schlueter
- at 7745 decided to sell their property and we
- 5 bought that through an LLC I formed with
- 6 another partner. And we own 7745.
- 7 MR. SCHEVE: You rent that property as
- 8 well?
- 9 MR. KIMENER: I do.
- MR. BARRETT: And what happened after
- 11 that? How did the plans from the parking lot
- then come into fruition?
- MR. KIMENER: Well, the need for the
- parking is way beyond time. In trying to find
- it, I was part of the effort to try to find
- space. Issues like trying to buy Daniel Motors
- if they were going to sell their property and
- 18 actually move some place else. All sorts of
- 19 avenues had been pursued to try to get
- 20 additional parking for Moeller. The neat part
- 21 about this was -- this was adjacent to
- Moeller's parking lot. That's really
- 23 convenient. You're not talking about kids, a
- 24 hundred kids walking through neighborhoods from
- the community, the business community. You're

1	talking about kids that are parking there and
2	walking right to school.
3	So convenience won't win the day here with
4	you, but it is and it's much more safer.
5	MR. BARRETT: What are the plans for the
6	two existing residences at 7745 and 7755
7	Kennedy Lane?
8	MR. KIMENER: I can speak for 7745, not
9	for 55. I don't know what Dave would plan. It
10	looks like because he has a young family and he
11	likes living there that he would stay there for
12	as long as he can. We intend to continue to
13	own the home that faces Kennedy Lane.
14	MR. BARRETT: Are you concerned about
15	having a parking lot developed the sensitivity
16	towards the neighborhood buffering and things
17	like that?
18	MR. KIMENER: Yes, of course. And I knew
19	David for the last couple of years and I know
20	Margee. So I don't know the other neighbors,
21	but I've owned other properties. I own other
22	properties and I'm sensitive to that issue.
23	MR. BARRETT: Is it important to you
24	personally as well as to the school to do this
25	in the most sensitive manner possible?

MR. KIMENER: Of course. 1 2 MR. BARRETT: Anything else you want to 3 say? Any questions? CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you. Any other 5 questions? Thank you. MR. MILLER: Can I interrupt a minute because I think I owe Marshall an apology. 7 This is an accurate drawing. I looked it up on the website. It doesn't show the pedestrian 9 10 route on there. So just wanted to point that out to the board. 11 12 MR. BARRETT: We accept your apology, 13 Doug. 14 MR. MILLER: Trying to be accurate. It's 15 not showing the pedestrian route that they 16 have. 17 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Do you have other 18 people you want to call? MR. BARRETT: Yes. I'd like to call David 19 20 Beiersdorfer. 21 MR. BEIERSDORFER: My name's David 22 Beiersdorfer. I live at 4281 Babson Park Place 23 in Batavia. 2.4 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: And were you sworn in?

MR. BEIERSDORFER: Yes, I was.

1	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you.
2	MR. BARRETT: And what is your
3	relationship to Archbishop Moeller High School?
4	MR. BEIERSDORFER: I wear many hats for
5	Moeller. I am an alumni '97 grad. I currently
6	work at the school as the facility director and
7	I also have a son that's a senior there at the
8	school, so I'm also a current parent.
9	MR. BARRETT: Are you aware of the issue
10	that came up at the prior hearing a year ago
11	that was suggested that maybe students should
12	be carpooling to alleviate the parking
13	problems?
14	MR. BEIERSDORFER: Yes.
15	MR. BARRETT: Did you look into that
16	issue?
17	MR. BEIERSDORFER: I did and that's
18	that's something that's changed over the past
19	few years. In 2015 Ohio changed its law and
20	implemented new law for new drivers. Within
21	the first 12 months of a new driver under the
22	age of 17 getting their license. They are only
23	allowed to drive in a vehicle with one other
24	nonfamily member besides a guardian. So when
25	we were going to school, we could carpool with

1	as many as three or four or five or however
2	many we could fit in the car. Students now are
3	only allowed to drive with one other student.
4	So it has compounded our parking lot problem
5	since 2015 when this law has changed. It's a
6	great law. I'm glad they did it. I have a son
7	and a daughter that are both driving. I think
8	it's very important. It improved teen driving,
9	but it's something that has definitely forced a
10	lot of our students out into more public areas.
11	MR. BARRETT: Let me hand David, while
12	you're speaking, let me hand the board copies
13	of Ohio Revised Code Section 4507.071 entitled,
14	quote, Probationary Licenses Restrictions,
15	unquote. We've highlighted Paragraph A on the
16	first page and Paragraph B4 on the second page.
17	Is this the section you're referring to, David?
18	MR. BEIERSDORFER: Yes, sir.
19	MR. BARRETT: And is this information on
20	the website of the Ohio Bureau of Motor
21	Vehicles?
22	MR. BEIERSDORFER: Yes.
23	MR. BARRETT: David, can you explain your
24	observations with regard to students that have

to park off campus?

1	MR. BEIERSDORFER: Yean. Number one job,
2	I mentioned some of the other things that I do
3	for Moeller. I was a general contractor for 20
4	years and I built a lot of things including
5	things around this area. Well, I was part of
6	the contractor that built the Montgomery Safety
7	Center and the Sycamore Junior High additions.
8	I've got a lot of familiarity with this area.
9	And I drove from a very long distance to where
10	I grew up out in Pleasant Plain which is near
11	Blanchester, 45 minute drive to school, as a
12	lot of our students do. And we did the same
13	thing. We had to park in other areas trying to
14	get to school. And since we've been trying to
15	come up with solutions for our kids, I spent
16	time observing how they get to school, where
17	they park in the public areas, and what paths
18	they take from those public areas to get to
19	school.
20	It's quite shocking if you're out there

It's quite shocking if you're out there actually watching them trying to cross over the cross county Ronald Reagan on ramp and exit ramp. There's no real traffic signals or any kind of signage or any stops for those motorists. So it's really up to the motorists

1	to stop and up to our boys to gain their eye
2	attention before they can cross those paths.
3	And when they do, several cars behind them will
4	begin to back up while three or four boys will
5	cross those on ramps and exit ramps. And once
6	they go, then all the cars will go again. But
7	about every three to four minutes, we'll see
8	those cars stop and let our boys go. So
9	sometimes when they're depending on when the
10	sun comes up, it's dark when they come to
11	school in the mornings and it can be a very
12	dangerous situation.
13	MR. BARRETT: Are you familiar with the
14	subject property?
15	MR. BEIERSDORFER: Yes.
16	MR. BARRETT: And did you have any
17	interest in trying to protect the neighbors
18	around this property?
19	MR. BEIERSDORFER: Yeah, of course. I
20	think the eight items that we highlighted
21	earlier specifically address a lot of the
22	neighbor's concerns. I think we made an effort
23	to go out after the last hearing to try to
24	improve the plan in a way that directly
25	benefited them. As the person that will build

this if passed, I can see a major significant cost that Moeller would take on just to implement those additional things, and it will be an additional cost to maintain that as well, but it's things that Moeller is willing to do to keep the boys as safe as possible. The entire goal with this is to get them on our property and allow us to take care of them.

2.4

I know that neighbors don't want them walking through their back yards and I know that business owners don't want them parking in their spaces. To me this is the best possible solution to allow the boys to park on our property adjacent to our property where we can maintain all things that are associated with them. We'll be aware of the things that they're doing in this lot versus when they're offsite. We can better maintain some of the safety items, the discipline that may happen. We can monitor this with cameras. We'll know everything about what's going on with our boys when they're here versus somewhere off site.

One of the biggest things that I do for the school, it's kind of three parts. One, is all the general construction that we do there.

- 1 Two, is trying to maintain what we do have.
- 2 And, three, is the safety of our students. We
- 3 have put in a lot of time and effort and energy
- 4 in several projects including door security,
- 5 camera systems, all kind of upgrades over the
- 6 last few years since I've started with the
- 7 focus on taking care of our students as one of
- 8 the top priorities of the school. And this is
- 9 a serious issue that we've had, that we're
- trying to solve. We've looked into several
- avenues and it's important that we figure out a
- way to keep these students as safe as possible.
- MR. BARRETT: Any questions for Mr.
- 14 Beiersdorfer?
- 15 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you, sir.
- MR. BARRETT: Like to ask Jerry Beitman to
- 17 come up here, please.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: You've been sworn in,
- 19 sir?
- MR. BEITMAN: Yes.
- MR. BARRETT: Jerry, will you state your
- full name and spell your last name?
- MR. BEITMAN: Yes, sir. My name is Jerry
- Beitman. It's B-E-I-T-M-A-N.
- MR. BARRETT: What is your address?

1	MR. BEITMAN: 8336 Country Oaks Station,
2	West Chester 45069.
3	MR. BARRETT: What is your occupation?
4	MR. BEITMAN: I do the security and
5	parking for Archbishop Moeller High School.
6	MR. BARRETT: How long have you done that?
7	MR. BEITMAN: Be starting my fifth year
8	this coming Wednesday.
9	MR. BARRETT: What did you do prior to
10	that?
11	MR. BEITMAN: Prior to that I was in law
12	enforcement. I worked for the City of
13	Montgomery Police Department for just shy of 31
14	years.
15	MR. BARRETT: What were your
16	responsibilities with the police department of
17	the City of Montgomery?
18	MR. BEITMAN: Obviously when I first
19	started I was a patrol officer. And years
20	later promoted to sergeant and I retired. My
21	last 13 years as the assistant police chief.
22	MR. BARRETT: Are you familiar with the
23	issues concerning this parking lot?
24	MR. BEITMAN: Yes, sir.
25	MR. BARRETT: Are you familiar with issue

1	regarding the students safety walking to and
2	from the parking lot?
3	MR. BEITMAN: Oh, absolutely, yes.
4	MR. BARRETT: Relate to the board your
5	observations and concerns there.
6	MR. BEITMAN: Much like Dave Beiersdorfer
7	said, part of my job is obviously the safety of
8	our students. And a number of them as, Mr.
9	Hyzdu mentioned, we have upwards to a hundred
10	that will park up in the public parking in
11	Montgomery. So periodically I do go up there.
12	I keep an eye on our students so they're
13	behaving correctly. And I observe them walking
14	to and from the public parking in Montgomery
15	and I do have some serious concerns about their
16	safety as Mr. Beiersdorfer mentioned. Crossing
17	over Cross County. The two areas, the on ramps
18	and the exit ramp, that concerns me. Yes,
19	maybe no accident has ever happened, but I'm
20	afraid we're pushing our luck on that.
21	MR. BARRETT: Are you familiar with the
22	plans for the roundabout at the intersection of
23	Montgomery Road and Cross County Highway?
24	MR. BEITMAN: Yes, sir.
25	MR. BARRETT: Explain to the board your

understanding of those plans? 1 MR. BEITMAN: My understanding is they 2 3 will start in April and be done in four phases. We met with the city manager and the assistant 5 city manager about a month ago to go over those plans. They were trying to keep us updated, because it's obviously going to effect our 7 students as they come -- if they are still 9 parking up in the public area. And the City of 10 Montgomery knows they park up there. So we sat down and talked to them. And I have concerns 11 12 about when they start construction, our 13 students still walking from the public parking 14 area to school. 15 MR. BARRETT: How long will that 16 construction phase last? MR. BEITMAN: I believe, it's going to be 17 18 a year and a half to two years. MR. BARRETT: And after the construction 19 20 is completed what problems, if any, do you 2.1 foresee? 22 MR. BEITMAN: Well, Mr. Miller you 23 mentioned -- actually, I sent those plans to 2.4 Mr. Hyzdu so I thought they were the latest and 25 it sounds like they are. But they actually

1	will be crossing over, if I'm reading it right
2	and after our meeting with them, they still
3	will cross in three, maybe four different
4	areas. They said they're going to put a
5	crosswalk down by Awakenings. They'll cross
6	over. They'll travel north on the south side
7	of Montgomery Road. Go behind the roundabout
8	or at some point they'll actually cross behind
9	the roundabout. Then walk up towards Kennedy
10	and cross back over towards Kennedy and then
11	cross over Kennedy, so I believe that is
12	it's going to be four crossings.
13	MR. BARRETT: Will you be responsible as
14	part of your duties for Moeller High School for
15	this parking lot once it's implemented?
16	MR. BEITMAN: Yes, sir, I will.
17	MR. BARRETT: And what will those duties
18	involve?
19	MR. BEITMAN: I do the basically the
20	students apply for parking and then I have to
21	sort through it all. I won't bore you with
22	those details, but I end up assigning all the
23	parking tags. And I can tell you just from a
24	personal standpoint if this happens, I can get
25	some parents off my back.

1	MR. BARRETT: What assurances if any can
2	you give the board for the conditions the
3	neighbors who are adjacent to this parking lot?
4	MR. BEITMAN: I patrol our lot frequently.
5	I'm all over the place everyday. That's part
6	of my job is to make sure. I can't be
7	everywhere at one point all the time. But
8	my job is to make sure they behave themselves
9	while they're out in the parking lot. I'm not
10	always successful I will tell you that, but
11	that's going to be part of my duties.
12	MR. BARRETT: Are you familiar, with all
13	your experiences with the City of Montgomery
14	and law enforcement, safety and security of
15	those parking lots?
16	MR. BEITMAN: Yes, sir.
17	MR. BARRETT: Have you ever seen a parking
18	lot that's better proposed than this parking
19	lot?
20	MR. BEITMAN: Never. Never.
21	MR. BARRETT: Any questions?
22	MR. SCHEVE: I have one. Is the parking
23	lot limited to certain hours of the day? The
24	proposed plan would that be limited to students
25	who can only park during school hours or would

1 it be opened to anybody? 2 MR. BEITMAN: I think that may be a 3 question better posed to Mr. Hyzdu, not to put it off to him, or our principal. I've not heard any discussion on that. 5 MR. SCHEVE: Because I'm just wondering if it would make any difference if -- to the 7 8 neighbors that students can only park there 9 during school hours as opposed to going there for dances or sporting events in the evening. 10 11 I don't know. So maybe Mr. Hyzdu can answer 12 that. 13 MR. HYZDU: Yeah. So the reality is is 14 our students are there from morning to the 15 evening and it's going to be student parking 16 that is going to be proposed there. The realty also is is that this is the most inconvenient 17 18 parking that we have. It's the furthest away 19 from the entrances. 20 MR. SCHEVE: So you don't want to park 2.1 there? 22 MR. HYZDU: Correct. So if there's other 23 parking, people are going to park elsewhere so 2.4 this will be the last parking choice.

MR. MILLER: Can I ask a question? You're

1 the one that patrols the parking lot, how late 2 do you work? 3 MR. BEITMAN: I'm there normally till 3:00 or till basically the lot, for the most part, 5 clears out. MR. MILLER: So it would be unpatrolled after school? 7 MR. BEITMAN: There are still, you know, 9 obviously there's still --10 MR. MILLER: Oh, I know. Brother 11 Flaherty's riding his bike around the whole 12 time. 13 MR. BEITMAN: I am there. There is -- and 14 we've had some discussion with Mr. Hyzdu about 15 actually bringing on security in the evening 16 after my day ends, bringing maybe somebody on 17 and that's strictly talk. Having somebody 18 there in the evening hours, cause frankly, our 19 building's in use from 6:30 in the morning to 20 10:00 at night. 21 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you, sir. 22 MR. HYZDU: Can I add something? So while 23 Mr. Beitman won't be there after 3:00 p.m. or 2.4 so, we do have security cameras which we 25 monitor on a daily basis.

1	MR. MILLER: Yeah, I know.
2	MS. GLASSMEYER: That was actually going
3	to be my question. You said there's a security
4	camera, is that monitored in real time or is it
5	reviewed later?
6	MR. HYZDU: We have several people that do
7	have it. We have one station in our
8	information technology center that does have it
9	up all the time it's being monitored. I don't
10	think that person's dedicated to watching it in
11	real time $24/7$, but it is always up. And then
12	we've got several other people. I'll tell you
13	it's probably more of a reactionary where if
14	something did happen, we would review it and
15	then discipline the students accordingly.
16	MR. BEITMAN: I keep it up on my desk when
17	I'm there, but, again, I'm out frequently.
18	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you, sir.
19	MR. BARRETT: Like to ask Mr. Gary
20	Meisener to come to the table, please. Gary,
21	will you state your full name and spell your
22	last name for the board?
23	MR. MEISENER: Gary Meisener,
24	M-E-I-S-E-N-E-R. My office as a planner and
25	landscape architect is 1888 Pendleton Street in

1	Cincinnati.
2	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Were you sworn in,
3	sir?
4	MR. MEISENER: Yes, I was.
5	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you very much.
6	MR. BARRETT: Will you tell the board your
7	experience, background, and history and
8	planning as a landscape architect?
9	MR. MEISENER: So I've been a landscape
10	architect licensed in about six, seven states
11	for 40 years plus. I know I don't look it.
12	But I served my first job was as city design
13	administrator in Akron, Ohio, where I
14	supervised a staff of 20 of landscape
15	architects, engineers, and inspectors and
16	architects. And we reviewed every development
17	proposal that came into the city. I was there
18	seven years. Hundreds of development proposals
19	where we looked at landscape buffer, parking
20	lot design, put a lot of red ink on our
21	drawings, criticized them.
22	But since then, I've been in Cincinnati
23	and worked for 35 plus years on many
24	development projects around town. Things like
25	Procter and Gamble Gardens, Museum Center,

1	Union Terminal, Garfield Park, parts of the
2	Riverfront on both sides of the river and
3	numerous commercial, retail, and institutional
4	projects, a lot of hospitals, too. I had parks
5	and other developments related to landscape
6	architecture.
7	MR. SCHEVE: Is a landscape architect is
8	that something the state licenses you to be?
9	MR. MEISENER: Yeah, it's a license with a
10	board.
11	MR. SCHEVE: So the qualifications become
12	what's called a landscape architect; is that
13	right?
14	MR. MEISENER: Yes. You have to have a
15	degree. Go through an internship. Go through
16	a national exam just like attorneys.
17	MR. SCHEVE: So not just anybody, some kid
18	cutting the grass can't call himself a
19	landscape architect?
20	MR. MEISENER: Occasionally they try to.
21	MR. SCHEVE: But you have special
22	qualifications.
23	MR. MEISENER: I do. In some states my
24	license allows me to do civil engineering.
25	MR. SCHEVE: Sorry for the interruption.

1	MR. BARRETT: What professional
2	designations do you hold?
3	MR. MEISENER: Landscape architect.
4	MR. BARRETT: Explain how you got involved
5	in this property and what was your role and
6	what did you do?
7	MR. MEISENER: About a year ago I was
8	asked by Fran and Marshall to take a look, a
9	fresh look at this parking lot. They didn't
10	really talk too much about it other than to say
11	there was a need for safety for the kids and
12	parking improvements could be the solution.
13	They explained that it was rejected in a
14	previous hearing, but to look at the code and
15	look at the site and so I did that. Take a
16	look at the site, looked at all the existing
17	conditions. Noticed that there was a lot of
18	ground water that the older trees were
19	declining. Kind of the crowns of trees were
20	showing that they're dying because of a super
21	saturated water table there which was validated
22	later when we met with some of the neighbors.
23	I suggested that we meet with the
24	immediate close-by neighbors and talk a little
25	bit about it before I put some drawings

- together. And we did that with Kathy Willis. 1 2 We tried to meet with all the other adjacent 3 neighbors. And, eventually, did have a small neighbor -- adjacent neighbor meeting where we 5 talked about issues. Showed what we thought was an approved plan, which is depicted on some of the drawings that we're shown earlier and we 7 8 have plenty of boards here if you want to look 9 at them. 10 MR. BARRETT: When you got involved in 11 this project, what concerns if any did you 12 have? 13 MR. MEISENER: Well, the residents
- 14 directly to the west, the Willis residence was 15 the most impacted by this proposed parking lot. 16 And there was only a 10-foot wide buffer in the 17 earlier plan. We said, well, gee let's 18 increase that by a 100 percent to 20 feet. 19 Let's look at more intensive landscaping. 20 Let's look at trying to solve the stormwater 21 issue which is apparently became illuminated by 22 Kathy and some other neighbor's high water 23 table. So that led us to some of the 2.4 improvements, the eight improvements that we 25 talked about or were presented earlier by Fran

1 to deal with stormwater runoff.

2 We looked at the visual environment and 3 said, hey, we actually understand this is a big change from what exists and tried to propose 5 both landscape buffer and a sound attenuating fence to absorb sound inside the parking lot. So the fence went up from 6 foot to 8 feet. A 7 mound was created around the perimeter of the site. A french drain created around the 9 10 outside of all of the parking lot to tie into a 11 stormwater detention facility that would meet 12 the Hamilton County code for stormwater 13 management. And we looked at the lighting and 14 said, hey, these 25-foot high lights are not 15 the right solution. Let's use bollards. 16 talked about all this and did increase the cost 17 quite a bit and I'm still getting a few 18 comments on the cost, but it seemed to be a 19 better solution when you look at the proposed 20 improvement from -- through the eyes of the 21 neighbors. 22 MR. SCHEVE: The last time we were here I

MR. SCHEVE: The last time we were here I can't remember the lady's name, it was probably Mrs. Willis, and her complaint was the parking lot was going to be 8 feet from her bedroom

23

2.4

window which I think that had sort of 1 2 significant impact on our decision. But you're 3 saying now that, I'm assuming that was Mrs. Willis, I can't remember who it was. But 5 you're saying now the parking lot won't be within 8 feet of someone's bedroom window. 7 You've actually moved it. MR. MEISENER: Absolutely not, right. 8 MR. SCHEVE: So how far back will it be 9 10 now? 11 MR. MEISENER: So there's a 20-foot wide 12 buffer. 13 MR. SCHEVE: 20 feet. 14 MR. MEISENER: And then there's a little 15 patch of area around the parking lot. So it's 16 20 plus feet away from. Plus you've got the 17 eight-foot high screen fenced sound attenuation 18 and lots of plants in between. 19 MR. SCHEVE: That was, as I say, that was 20 her, as I remember, which I'm not sure I'm 21 exactly accurate, but as I recall that was her 22 principal complaint. That she would be looking 23 in her bedroom window from the parking lot and 2.4 you've alleviated that problem?

MR. MEISENER: Yes.

1 MR. BARRETT: Mr. Meisener, you prepared 2 11 drawing boards. Can you just walk the BZA 3 through each of those? MR. MEISENER: With a really short 5 explanation. You've seen some of these previously. MR. BARRETT: Start with the area context, 7 the aerial photograph. MR. MEISENER: Right. So you've seen this 9 10 site. It's north of the school. Am I blocking 11 your view? 12 MR. MILLER: You are, but I know what it 13 looks like. 14 MR. MEISENER: He lives right there. So that's the site. That's enlarged. 15 16 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: That's fine. MR. BARRETT: Number 2 should be the site 17 18 aerial photograph? MR. MEISENER: Correct. So that's an 19 20 enlargement of that. 2.1 MR. BARRETT: Number 3 should be the high 22 altitude aerial photograph? 23 MR. MEISENER: Yeah. This shows not all 2.4 of the surrounding area, but shows the parking 25 lot through a normal schoolday being filled to

- 1 the maximum.
- 2 MR. BARRETT: Number 4 should be the site
- 3 layout plan.
- 4 MR. MEISENER: Yes. The site layout plan.
- 5 Harry showed it. This is the plan with
- 6 dimensions. But it also shows drain inlets
- 7 throughout the parking lot, subservice
- 8 stormwater detention area in the parking lot,
- 9 similarly to what was previously designed. But
- there's also the french drains that tie into
- 11 the system. And part of that, too, is cleaning
- up an inlet that's actually on the adjoining
- 13 residence that doesn't seem to be working. So
- we thought while we're out here improving the
- stormwater improvements, we ought to just
- 16 connect. And then that all connects and goes
- 17 out to Montgomery Road and the existing storm
- sewer system.
- 19 MR. BARRETT: Next one. Number 5, should
- 20 be the landscape plan.
- MR. MEISENER: So the landscape plan shows
- an extensive amount of landscape around the
- 23 parking area. It's a combination of shade
- trees, flowering trees, a double row basically
- of evergreen tree shrubs. They actually will

1	grow taller than the shrub. And a lot of low
2	level native plants. And things that are going
3	to take the drought period we have and most of
4	them are regional natives.
5	MR. BARRETT: Mr. Meisener, Mr. Holbert's
6	staff report mentioned a plant list. Do you
7	have a plant list?
8	MR. MEISENER: I do.
9	MR. BARRETT: Can you hand those out,
10	please. Give them to the chairman and he'll
11	pass them out for you.
12	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: We'll pass them out
13	for you. Thanks.
14	MR. MEISENER: So that's the plant list.
15	Actually, all the plants are listed on the
16	drawing if there's any questions about that.
17	MR. BARRETT: In layman's terms just
18	explain the plants. What's the effectiveness
19	of this type of planting?
20	MR. MEISENER: Well, it visually it's
21	going to offer you can see there's an
22	exhibit over here that's kind of a birdseye
23	perspective of looking southeast from up above
24	the adjoining subdivision. So as the plans
25	mature, they're basically even though you have

1 a fence there, it's going to be a solid green 2 wall of plants. And we could actually even add 3 some vines initially to the sound fence. would be almost within a year or two all green. 5 But that's currently the landscape plan. MR. BARRETT: Number 6, should be the 7 illustrative site plan. MR. MEISENER: Right. One thing I might 9 mention is on the inside mostly we have a 10 variable width of rock mulch area, so it takes 11 the debris from the winter and snow plowing, it 12 could be officially on the side there. 13 MR. BARRETT: Number 7, should be the 14 birdseye perspective. 15 MR. MEISENER: So that's over here. Maybe 16 you've seen it. So the school's in the 17 backdrop. The fence is shown. Parking stalls. 18 We have major trees in the corners. Lots of 19 evergreen, shrubs, and trees surrounding. Do 20 you want me to talk more about it? 21 MR. BARRETT: That's all right. Number 8, 22 should be the outside elevations. 23 MR. MEISENER: Right. 2.4 MR. SCHEVE: Excuse me, on Number 7, do 25 any of those trees exist now?

1	MR. MEISENER: None of them do. We did
2	look and we brought in some arborists to take a
3	look at the existing trees. Initially asking
4	if there was anyway we can save trees. But
5	whenever you're doing pavement over the feeder
6	roots, which are the top 6 to 12 inches around
7	the tree and have older trees, it's almost
8	impossible to save any of those trees. Plus
9	they're already impacted by the high water
10	table and many of them are declining.
11	MR. SCHEVE: If we approve the plan,
12	you'll be cutting down trees?
13	MR. MEISENER: Correct.
14	MR. SCHEVE: How many are you going to cut
15	down?
16	MR. MEISENER: All of those within the
17	footprint of the parking lot.
18	MR. SCHEVE: How many is that?
19	MR. MEISENER: I'd say there's 12.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: More like 70.
21	MR. MEISENER: I don't know about 70. But
22	there is maybe some shrubbery trees along
23	the outside. You can see from the aerial.
24	MR. SCHEVE: You're going to cut down 12
25	trees and replace them with how many?

1	MR. MEISENER: There's a total of 64:
2	Evergreen trees, flowering trees, and shade
3	trees. So we did these elevations from the
4	north, looking south from the west, looking
5	east and from the east looking west. So that
6	you get a sense of how this would look
7	initially from all three sides of the
8	neighbors.
9	MR. BARRETT: Explain which each side is
10	here.
11	MR. MEISENER: So C is looking south from
12	the north side.
13	MR. BARRETT: That would be from the rear
14	of the home on Kennedy Lane?
15	MR. MEISENER: Correct. D is the east
16	side of the property looking west. You can see
17	shade trees, flowering trees, evergreen trees.
18	And then E is looking east from the west side
19	of the property.
20	MR. BARRETT: That would be where Kennedy
21	Cove is, correct?
22	MR. MEISENER: Correct.
23	MR. BARRETT: And then look at Number 9 is
24	the plant landscape elements?
25	MR. MEISENER: Sure.

Τ	MR. BARREII: Call you explain chat:
2	MR. MEISENER: Sure. So the plant list
3	that was handed out has mostly these plants
4	Bayberry a salt resistant shrub that grows up 6
5	feet or so. Air wood viburnum 4, 5, or 6 feet,
6	depending on the situation. Gro-Low Sumac which
7	is a lower 2-foot high ground cover plant that
8	takes all kinds of abuse. A lot of Eastern Red
9	Bud Trees. I'm sure you've seen those around.
10	There's Gingko Trees. They are often used in
11	really tough draught situations. And then we
12	have a few where there's more space white oaks
13	to try to replace some of the larger trees that
14	were Oak trees or very large trees.
15	MR. BARRETT: Let's look at Number 10 hard
16	scape elements.
17	MR. MEISENER: Sure. So Harry did show
18	this as well and this is we've been looking
19	at a number of fences. I actually reviewed
20	some with the neighbors. This is a wood
21	texture vinyl fence that has some sound
22	attenuation qualities in it. And this is the
23	current version of a Bollard which would sit or

a little pedestal. If we're looking at light

distribution at 4, 5, 6 feet, we're just kind

24

1	of playing around with that. But the thought
2	is that all of that light would be focused
3	downward and the top of the Bollard wouldn't
4	even be close to the top of the fence.
5	MR. BARRETT: Let's look at Number 11, the
6	illustrative lighting plan.
7	MR. MEISENER: Right. So this shows
8	approximately a half foot candle circle around
9	each of the Bollards. We looked at adding a
10	few more here and there. But the amount of
11	night views at this parking lot we talked about
12	is very limited. So that's the current version
13	of the lighting plan.
14	MR. BARRETT: In layman's terms, what is
15	the impact of that lighting plan?
16	MR. MEISENER: Very, very modest. It's
17	actually not up to the electric engineering
18	standards, but this isn't really intended to be
19	a retail shopping center with lots of late
20	night parking. It's kind of the end of day
21	parking.
22	MR. BARRETT: In layman's terms, what is
23	the affect on the neighboring residential
24	property?

MR. MEISENER: Zero.

1 MR. LEUGERS: Is there any motion lights 2 planned for it? 3 MR. MEISENER: We haven't talked about that, but you know sometimes those are set off 5 by deer, too. But we do have some webcams that we have located throughout the parking lot so it could be monitored. 7 MR. MILLER: Gary, on those the ones that 9 are interior --10 MR. SCHEVE: Yes. 11 MR. MILLER: -- the lights --12 MR. MEISENER: Yes. 13 MR. MILLER: -- are those on an island? 14 MR. MEISENER: Those are set on a little 15 pedestal so we don't actually show the pedestal 16 here. But there would be a 30-inch high 17 pedestal and then 3-foot Bollard sitting on top 18 of that. And that's to give a little bit of 19 protection from car bumpers. 20 MR. MILLER: And that was my question. 21 But so you're talking about 30 inches and 22 36 inches. So they're now 5 feet. 23 MR. MEISENER: Five and a half feet. 2.4 Yeah, I think they put on there 4 to 6 feet. 25 We're still kind of looking at the height.

MR. MILLER: Well, it says 3 feet. 1 2 MR. MEISENER: So those are in the corner 3 of parking spaces, but some are in the actual 4 green areas of the school and the corners of 5 the parking lots. 6 MR. BARRETT: Mr. Meisener, I understand 7 over the years, over many years, you've been involved in multiple parking lots at multiple locations; is that correct? 9 10 MR. MEISENER: That's correct. 11 MR. BARRETT: Is there ever in your 12 experience been a parking lot that's been 13 better buffered by residential property? 14 MR. MEISENER: I can't think of any 15 really. 16 MR. BARRETT: Anything else you want to 17 add? 18 MR. MEISENER: No. 19 MR. BARRETT: Any questions for Mr. 20 Meisener? 21 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you very much. 22 MR. BARRETT: Mr. Chairman, that includes 23 our formal presentation. I'm sure there's 2.4 people in the audience that wish to speak in 25 support, but that's our formal presentation.

1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Very good thank you. 3 I noticed a lot of people have been taking breaks on their own, which is quite all right. 5 We'll press forward so we can get through this if everybody up here is in agreement with me. 7 I'm trying to do this the same way I did the first case and that's go through the rows. 9 Unfortunately, the guys next to that beautiful 10 plant in the back will have to wait until we 11 get back to the end. Anybody in the front row 12 here? Okay. Come on up. If you wouldn't mind 13 sitting down and tell us your name and address. 14 MS. NAVARO: That's fine. My name is Kim 15 Navaro. I live at 7739 Kennedy lane. Is that 16 all the information you need from me? 17 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: That's perfect. 18 MS. NAVARO: I do have a PowerPoint 19 presentation. I have a little thumb drive. 20 Who could I give that to to have my PowerPoint 2.1 seen? 22 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Harry. 23 MR. HOLBERT: I'm the only one with a 2.4 computer. 25 MS. NAVARO: Thank you so much.

Τ	appreciate that help. I appreciate this
2	opportunity to talk to the board again. I
3	spoke the last time we were here and I
4	appreciate the chance to talk again. Before I
5	start my presentation though I would like to
6	talk about a couple of things that were brought
7	up in the presentation prior to me speaking.
8	And that would be it was referenced that
9	there's parking in my neighborhood on Kennedy
10	Lane and that's not accurate. There's no
11	parking in that area that I live on Shadetree.
12	There's a bunch of little streets we don't have
13	parking during school hours in those areas.
14	I also heard them mention that they're
15	going to be adding classrooms. I'm wondering
16	if that will increase the student population as
17	well. Something going forward in terms of the
18	parking situation right now. That is a little
19	bit of a concern to me that they're increasing
20	their classroom size which makes me think
21	they're going to address their enrollment.
22	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: We'll let them address
23	that when you're finished.
24	MS. NAVARO: I'm just wondering and I

don't know if you are struck by this at all.

1	But apparently from about 2012, they've noticed
2	an issue with the children or the students
3	crossing Ronald Reagan and Montgomery, the
4	entrance and the exit. And I personally, my
5	son went to school there. I am appalled that
6	they haven't done anything to mitigate that
7	issue. There's no crossing guards. I can't
8	imagine if they're that concerned that they
9	haven't even provided a crossing guard for the
10	morning and the afternoon they probably don't
11	need it, but in the morning when it's dark.
12	Every single person that came up here to talk
13	about how important safety was, nobody, nobody
14	mentioned the fact that they could have easily
15	gotten a crossing guard. I find that
16	appalling.
17	Let's see. The plan, the roundabout plan
18	is actually going to start October of this year
19	and should conclude in the spring of 2021 and
20	that's from the Montgomery website. And I

A little history since everyone gave a little history of themselves. My son went to

think that's it. Just the comments that I kind

of came up with as Moeller was presenting their

21

22

23

24

25

presentation.

L	Moeller. Our son went to Moeller. He loved it
2	there. He had a terrific education. He's
3	turned into a great guy. He has friends from
1	Moeller. I think that Moeller does create a
5	very great comradery among their students and I
5	appreciate that. I worked at Moeller in their
7	development office and I enjoyed my time there.
3	It was very enjoyable to me. I just wanted to
9	got those comments down.

So we can go ahead -- I noticed that I made a mistake, but it really isn't a variance apparently that we're talking about. So I made a mistake on my first slide, but let's go to the second one.

There's a situation where they need 880 parking blocks. They have 880 students. They think they need a parking spot for every student and faculty, staff, visitors, and guests. I was looking through the old code. I know that you're revising your code right now. And the old code recommends that six spaces would be provided for each student, which would mean 147 spaces were actually required as a minimum. That would leave 240 spaces for faculty, staff, visitors, and guests, which

seems sufficient to me. I think that's it. We can go to the next one.

2.4

This is what I do in my yard. I have parties. I held a wedding reception for my daughter. I held my son's rehearsal dinner there. Margee hosted her daughter's wedding, white tents, beautiful, in our back yard. So we use our back yard. We're out there a lot. We enjoy it. So we can go to the next slide. I think I'm making my point there.

I'm not sure that you can see the red area. This I got from actually the photographs that were provided by the architect. As you can see the proposed parking lot is smack dab in the middle of my neighborhood. I'll be able to see that from my back yard. My house if you look is — the house just adjacent to the area that we're talking about is Kathy's and my house is the next house to the left. So when I'm out in my back yard, I'll be looking at the parking lot. When I'm in bedroom, I can be looking down into the parking lot which I am not really too excited about. In addition, the character of my neighborhood will be influenced we'll be dealing with, noise pollution,

particulant pollution -- will be creating a
heat area. I'm sorry, a heat island. I didn't
even know about these things, but parking lots
create heat islands. There's no shrubbery or
anything in the middle of that to mitigate
that. So it's just going to bake all day long
and reflect that heat. All right. That's it
for that. Go ahead to the next one.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I am the head gardener over at All Saints Church. And with that responsibility, I have to -- I'm in the parking lot quite a bit. And as you may know, Moeller rents I'm not sure how many parking spaces from All Saints. I believe it's around a hundred. I'm not sure, but there's students parked over in our parking lot in the north wing. And because I am the head gardener I'm over there quite a bit. And I can tell you that on a regular basis I have to go around, not with a garbage can, I don't want to overinflate what I'm saying. But I take a five gallon pail and go around and collect lunch bags, wrappers, water bottles, sports drinks bottles, sometimes there's socks in there, sometimes a shirt. But on a regular basis I have to go over there and clean that up. Would

1	I say it's an everyday occasion, I think
2	probably everyday I would collect about a half
3	a five gallon tub.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The other issue that I've seen repeatedly is when I'm over there and it's dismissal time, the kids are jockeying to get out. They've got their loud music on. They're honking at each other. They're gunning to get out at the light. They're honking, playing their music, so it is not -- it's not a quiet dismissal. And if this is in my side yard, I really don't want that in my side yard. And kids are kids, they're going to act that way. I'm not saying that they're bad kids. That's what teenagers They drive their cars. They make noise do. and that's just the nature of the kids, but I don't personally want that in my side yard. So you see here, it will be about 75 feet from my yard and it's in the middle of the neighborhood. And we can go ahead and go to the next slide.

Here's more of the same idea, squealing tires, lout music, horn blasts, kids yelling out the window at each other. They have tailgating now sometimes on the weekends when

1	they have sporting events and people set up
2	their tents and, you know, they're having a
3	good time, as they should at a sporting event.
4	This parking lot is located quite close to the
5	lacrosse field as you can see on the drawing.
6	So I would imagine that at sporting events
7	people would use that parking lot as they're
8	tailgating spot. So that sums up that slide.

So we can go ahead and go to the next one.

All right. This is the student pedestrian safety issue and this is what Mr. Hyzdu had mentioned that there's a pressing need at this time. We've already heard that and it's been talked about. Let's go to the next slide if you don't mind.

First of all, students are not forced to park off campus. Students and their parents choose to either have their kids — they choose to park off campus. The parents and children could decide. They could carpool. They could be dropped off, or they could ride the bus. I don't think it's my problem that they have 880 kids they feel as though they need to provide parking spots for when there are other options available. Again, the safety of the students

1	is not paramount in Moeller's view. If it was,
2	they would have crossing guards at the
3	crosswalks as I talked about earlier. We can
4	go to the next slide.

2.4

Approximately, it's a sixth of a mile from downtown Montgomery to Moeller High School. I don't really think that's too far to ask teenage boys to walk. Next.

This is Phase II of the roundabout that is on the Montgomery site. As you see, the kids are going to have to walk around the construction site. There will be a crossing walk. I'm not sure that you can see it to the north of that and then they would across again over at Kennedy, which is at the end of my street. And this is going to be -- the construction will start during October. As you already see, they've already started laying some -- digging up the road to lay some sort, I'm guessing some sort of underground piping. I'm not sure what it is. That's not my job. Next one.

The pedestrian path. If you can see, I know there was some concern about where they will cross the roundabout. But if you look

1	closely, where they cross the roundabout is
2	actually on the interior of the triangle park.
3	And they will at the time in the morning. They
4	would have to cross two lanes of traffic that
5	will be leaving the triangle park and one
6	traffic line that will be coming into the
7	triangle park. I would imagine that that won't
8	be a big issue, but of course, I don't know. I
9	have talked to the City of Montgomery and they
10	do plan to provide lighting the entire length
11	of the pedestrian walkway so that area will be
12	lit with street lights the entire way.

I think that might be it. Let me see if there's one more. Oh, I think there is one more if you wouldn't mind.

In my opinion they have not do -- Moeller has not done their due diligence to keep their students safe. And within a year after the roundabout is completed, there will not be an issue with them crossing Ronald Reagan anymore and they will only be crossing at the triangle park entrance and exit there.

And I think there's one more slide. Just to make sure. I really am emphatically opposed to this and I find it quite disturbing that I

1	had to come back here again when we have
2	something in Hamilton County still under
3	review. I don't know where the loophole is in
4	the language of the zoning board or how Moeller
5	was allowed to do this. I can't quite wrap my
6	head around that. But I do appreciate this
7	opportunity to speak with each of you and
8	present my concerns.
9	Does anybody have any questions for me?
10	MS. GLASSMEYER: Just curious, is there
11	anything Moeller could do that would make this
12	parking lot acceptable to you?
13	MS. NAVARO: No.
14	MR. MILLER: Did we verify she was sworn
15	in?
16	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: We did not.
17	MR. MILLER: Were you sworn in?
18	MS. NAVARO: I absolutely was sworn in.
19	This hand was up.
20	MR. MILLER: And then Mr. Barrett should
21	have the opportunity to ask questions.
22	MS. NAVARO: Cross-examine me.
23	MR. MILLER: Yeah.
24	MR. BARRETT: Let me ask you a couple of
25	quick questions.

```
1
              MS. NAVARO: Why sure, Mr. Barrett.
 2
              MR. BARRETT: I understand that your
 3
         background abuts Moeller's parking lot?
 4
              MS. NAVARO: Actually, my back yard abuts
 5
          the field. It's the -- where they do the long
          jump or the pole jump or something like that.
         So it's the field.
 7
              MR. BARRETT: Is the southeast corner of
 8
 9
          your rear yard adjacent to the parking lot?
10
              MS. NAVARO: Tom, is our back yard --
              MR. NAVARO: No, it's not.
11
12
              MR. MILLER: We got an aerial. Let's get
13
          it on a picture.
14
              MR. NAVARO: No, our back yard --
15
              MS. NAVARO: No, it just backs up to -- I
16
          can show you on this. Would you care if I
17
         showed you?
18
              MR. BARRETT: Sure.
19
              MR. NAVARO: It's very close. But if you
20
         want to get technical our back yard is not --
21
              MS. NAVARO: This is my back yard.
22
              MR. BARRETT: Let's put this board up
23
         here.
2.4
              MS. NAVARO: Sure. This is my yard right
```

25

here.

```
1
               MR. BARRETT: And this is the parking lot
 2
          right here, correct?
 3
               MS. NAVARO: The corner -- I'm like this.
          My kids when they were going to school and the
 5
          kids in the neighborhood would cross through my
          yard and cross over here in the parking lot.
               MR. BARRETT: And this parking lot which
 7
          exists right here is a lot closer than this
 8
 9
          parking lot, correct?
10
               MS. NAVARO: I don't really know.
                                                 Ιt
11
          appears to be so on there, but that's
          irrelevant. It's still where I can see it.
12
13
          It's still going to be noisy.
14
               MR. BARRETT: Well, you can see this
15
          parking lot here, correct?
16
               MS. NAVARO: No, I can't.
17
              MR. BARRETT: Because?
18
               MS. NAVARO: Because my sight line is
19
          here.
20
               MR. BARRETT: You can't see this parking
21
          lot from your house?
22
               MS. NAVARO: No.
23
               MR. BARRETT: Why can't you see that?
2.4
               MS. NAVARO: Because there's shrubbery
25
          there.
```

1	MR. BARRETT: So you understand there's
2	going to be heavy shrubbery planted along the
3	entire western side of this parking lot?
4	MS. NAVARO: Yes, sir. I understand that
5	you're doing a very nice job with the
6	plantings. However, that does not mitigate the
7	noise and the loud what I've witnessed is
8	kids are exiting. They're loud. There's
9	horns. There's tires squealing. They're
10	shouting to each other. There's litter all
11	over the place. Will I look at it, yes. Out
12	my bedroom window, I'll be able to see it.
13	Yes, sir.
14	MR. BARRETT: Those conditions presently
15	exist on this parking lot, which is just
16	southeast of your property line?
17	MS. NAVARO: No, sir. I don't know. They
18	occur mostly at All Saints. I have not
19	witnessed that in the back of my yard, no.
20	MR. BARRETT: And how long have you lived
21	there?
22	MS. NAVARO: Twenty years.
23	MR. BARRETT: Was that a parking lot when
24	you moved there?
25	MS. NAVARO: Yeah.

1	MR. BARRETT: You went to the open house
2	on February 27th, correct?
3	MS. NAVARO: I believe I was there over at
4	Moeller, yeah.
5	MR. BARRETT: And you asked Marshall Hyzdu
6	if he would approach Father Jaspers about All
7	Saints give property to Moeller for their
8	parking, correct?
9	MS. NAVARO: Yeah.
10	MR. BARRETT: Did you talk to Father
11	Jaspers?
12	MS. NAVARO: I did not.
13	MR. BARRETT: That's all I have. Thank
14	you.
15	MS. NAVARO: You're welcome. Anyone else?
16	Thanks again for this opportunity.
17	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you.
18	MR. NAVARO: I'm Tom Navaro. 7739 Kennedy
19	Lane. I'm the second lot from the proposed
20	MR. MILLER: Were you sorn in?
21	MR. NAVARO: I was sworn in. So my
22	presentation tonight is actually some degree a
23	lot like my presentation the previous time we
24	did this. And I can say, Mr. Scheve, thank you
25	for bringing up the issues at the beginning of

1	this meeting, because we all are wondering why
2	are we here. So thank you for bringing that up
3	and I hope that you understand our position
4	that we don't think we should be here. We have
5	already been through this. This has been
6	decided. There's litigation going on. How can
7	it possibly be that we have to go through this
8	again.

2.4

So with that said, my rebuttal tonight is to basically address one of the cornerstones of Moeller's application which is the safety issue. I am going to read a little bit, so forgive me for reading directly from my notes.

Quote, unquote, in the BZA, Moeller refers to the need to eliminate the unsafe and undesirable circumstances of our students parking off campus and having to walk to school from those locations. So I think my first general question is: When did it become unsafe to walk? Walking is a thing that we do all the time. I think my wife pointed out, I didn't actually measure it, but she did, it's six tenths of a mile. When did walking to school become undesirable. I also don't quite understand that. Kids for generations have

L	walked to school. I would venture to say that
2	there's maybe even folks in the audience
3	tonight whose kids still live in those
1	neighborhoods who still walk to Moeller from
ō	those neighborhoods. So I don't think we can
ĵ.	make blanket statements that walking to school

is generally unsafe or undesirable.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I think my biggest concern, in fact, has to do with what Moeller hasn't done to mitigate those concerns. Moeller has specifically referenced that it's unsafe to cross the street at the interchange of Montgomery Road and the entrance to Ronald Reagan Highway. We heard that repeatedly last year. We've heard that again tonight. We've had multiple witnesses come up and talk about that interchange, but facts are facts. You can't deny the facts. There has not been a documented accident involving a pedestrian or cars at that interchange in not one, not two, not three, not four, but five years. No documented accidents or even anything worth calling the police about at that interchange in five years. So why do we continue to harp on the fact that that's an unsafe interchange. I've walked that

1	interchange. My family's walked that
2	interchange. I would venture to say that a lot
3	of folks in this room have walked that
4	interchange.
5	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: I would invite you
6	reiterate anybody that said something about a
7	fact that you're going to go through it again,
8	just say you agree with that and that will help
9	speed it along because I think you're saying
10	you agree with the concept and move onto your
11	next point.
12	MR. NAVARO: I agree with the concept of
13	what?
14	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: If something's been
15	stated already, I asked in the beginning of the
16	meeting, if something's been stated already
17	just come and say you agree with that statement
18	about that crosswalk.
19	MR. NAVARO: I don't think I've agreed
20	with anything yet, have I?
21	MS. GLASSMEYER: I think you've agreed
22	with a lot of what your wife has already said
23	about the safety issues.
24	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: I don't mind you doing

it. But at this late hour we're trying to get

1	everybody a chance to speak without repeating.
2	So if you agree just state you agree. That's
3	fine.
1	MP NAVARO. I think to that point I'm

2.4

MR. NAVARO: I think to that point I'm going to take it one step further, however, because I actually have documented information from Gary Hietkamp who is the city safety director for the City of Montgomery. Because last year I did ask Mr. Heitkamp to comment on that particular interchange and to find out whether or not Moeller who's expressed such a concern about that particular issue had contacted them, reached out to them, or addressed it in any way. And the answer last year was no.

And so then I followed up again this year and I'm quoting from the e-mail from Gary
Heitkamp sent on August 16th, at 8:58 a.m. "I will forward your e-mail to the police department to see if there have been any additional pedestrian incidents or, I'm sorry, any pedestrian incidents at the location within the past year. I know I have not heard of any, but I will see if they have on any record.

Regarding Moeller High School, city staff

has been heavily communicating coordinating
with the project with them. I am not aware of
them expressing concerns to us regarding the
project in terms of student safety, although I
am sure they are always looking out for the
safety of their students. There will be
pedestrian accommodations throughout the
construction of the project, we're talking
about the roundabout. As it is constructed in
a number of phases through 2020 and into 2021.

2.4

Regarding the pedestrian connection from the city's heritage district to Kennedy Lane and Moeller High School, the sidewalks and crosswalks are designed per FHWA/ODOT guidelines. To maximize safety by reducing the potential locations of pedestrian vehicle conflict, all crosswalks were eliminated around the roundabout except for the east leg, the street that heads into the Montgomery corrider development. This is the safest option as pedestrians heading north or south simply cross Montgomery Road at the signalized intersections of Kennedy Lane and the proposed signal at the Main street split."

So I asked him then further is it designed

1 to be safe, are the students going to be safe.

2 And his answer is: "Yes, it is designed to be

3 safe." Then pedestrians and vehicles need to

4 be responsible for adhering to the rules of the

5 crosswalk.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

So the city safety director is basically reiterating that he doesn't see any undo safety concerns with students transversing the roundabout now or moving forward.

So to follow up on that, once again I'm going to point out, has Moeller taken any action with the City of Montgomery to express their concerns. You heard from Gary Heitkamp the answer is no. Has Moeller even contacted the Montgomery City Safety Director about this issue. The answer is no. Have there been any pedestrian vehicle accidents, I already covered that. The answer is no. Since the BZA turned down this request over a year ago, has Moeller made any attempts to put additional safety measures in place to reduce the quote, unquote, unsafe and undesirable circumstances they described and the answer's no. So even after they lost, they didn't take any action to mitigate their safety concerns. So I'm not

certain how to read that other than they really aren't safety concerns.

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

What can we conclude from Moeller's safety argument? The argument has always been flawed and without merit. Moeller paraded an army of students, teachers, and sympathetic supporters into the BZA hearing last year to attempt to sway you. That is because student safety is an emotional topic and everyone in this room would agree we want to keep our students safe. However, when you attempt to explore the safety argument for your own narrow interests your argument doesn't stand up to scrutiny. There's no factual data to support the Moeller safety claim. There wasn't data last year. isn't data this year. It's an overemotional graph that doesn't have merit. To prove this point Moeller even after losing the battle last year, and faced with the possibility of losing this argument did nothing to attempt to address the issue. That's because quite frankly there isn't a safety issue. Next page, please.

Continuing on. Moeller, again, incorrectly states that students are parking in the nearby neighborhood and that this is an

l unwanted intrusion. It simply is not t	rue.
--	------

2 There hasn't been a problem with students

3 parking in the Kennedy Lane neighborhood or the

4 Glenover neighborhood since I've lived in the

5 neighborhood for 20 years. There's no parking

signs that have been up since I moved into the

7 neighborhood. It is not an issue. I provided

8 last year time dated and stamp dated pictures

9 to prove that those streets don't have students

10 parking on them.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Moeller again states that students are parking in retail business lots which is irritating the retail shop owners of Montgomery. This is true for a small percentage of students who park in remote locations. I personally documented on three separate occasions the Moeller student parking situation in the public and private lots off Shelly Lane. The vast majority of those students park legally in the public lot and carpool, which may be now I'm hearing is illegal. But I can't swear that the student who was driving the car wasn't an older student who the law, I believe, only stated that was in your first 12 months of driving that you

couldn't carpool. So I can't swear that this was illegal because these might have been older students. And what I observed is that a lot of students come to that lot. They park their cars. They wait in their cars until the guy who's carpooling comes in, picks up three or four kids, they get in that car and they go to school. So actually a lot of students aren't even walking to school, they're jumping in other cars and driving to school. And one person who has a pass at the school is picking those kids up.

2.4

I did see kids walking. I took pictures.

I have pictures of kids walking. I also have pictures of kids who parked in private retail locations. Specifically, UC Health which is there. Awakenings is there as well, but I saw kids, specifically in UC Health, why because UC Health is closest to Moeller. It is the southern most lot before you get to the Ronald Reagan Highway. So you park and you see health and you save yourself an extra three minutes from walking out of the public lot. However, I didn't see the majority of the kids. They were actually -- the majority of the kids were

L	actually	parking	safely	and	legally	in	the
2	public le	ot.					

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

So my summary the permanent change in my neighborhood far outweighs the convenience of having additional on campus parking for students. Once again, my position is there is no safety issue. My position is there is no unwanted neighborhood parking issue. There are minimal retail issues which could be corrected by Moeller by strictly enforcing as a gentleman who is responsible for the parking lot said, by them enforcing, not parking in those retail spots and parking in the public spots. And by the way, there is plenty of parking in that public lot. There is more than enough. Although I never got the count to be anywhere close to a hundred, there's more than enough parking to accommodate a hundred students.

And lastly, there's no need to destroy our neighborhood environment. The board voted and felt our opinions strongly enough last year to vote in our favor and we're soliciting that same vote again tonight. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Any additional questions?

1	MR. BARRETT: Yes. Mr. Navaro, would you
2	agree that it is a safer condition to have the
3	students parking on their own parking lot than
4	it would be to park in the City of Montgomery?
5	MR. NAVARO: What makes it safer?
6	MR. BARRETT: I'm asking you, wouldn't you
7	agree that it's safer?
8	MR. NAVARO: I would venture to say that I
9	don't see a difference between the safety in
10	both of those issues.
11	MR. BARRETT: And wouldn't you agree that
12	the plan that is submitted tonight is
13	definitely better than the plan that was
14	submitted a year ago?
15	MR. NAVARO: I would submit that the plan
16	tonight shows a parking lot and the plan last
17	year shows a parking lot.
18	MR. BARRETT: You wouldn't agree there's
19	any difference, correct?
20	MR. NAVARO: I would tell you that there's
21	differences in landscaping and there's
22	differences in buffering. But I would submit
23	to you that a parking lot is a parking lot is a
24	parking lot.

MR. BARRETT: So improvements cannot be

```
1
          made or any issues that you're concerned about,
 2
          correct?
 3
               MR. NAVARO: That is correct.
               MR. BARRETT: And your house is really
 5
          north of Moeller High School, correct?
 6
               MR. NAVARO: Yes.
 7
               MR. BARRETT: And there's a parking lot
          just to the southeast of your house, correct?
 8
               MR. NAVARO: That is correct.
 9
10
               MR. BARRETT: Does that negatively impact
11
          your house?
12
               MR. NAVARO: Does that parking lot
13
          negatively impact my house? That parking lot
14
          has -- I moved there with the understanding
15
          that parking lot was already there, so I would
16
          venture to say to you that I am not negatively
17
          impacted because I knew what I got into when I
18
          bought the house.
19
               MR. BARRETT: And there's no negative
20
          affects related to that parking lot as they
21
          exist today, correct?
22
               MR. NAVARO: I wouldn't say that.
23
               MR. BARRETT: Does it have a negative
2.4
          affect?
```

MR. NAVARO: I would say that there are

1	things about that parking lot that I don't
2	like.
3	MR. BARRETT: Such as?
4	MR. NAVARO: I don't like the noise in the
5	morning. I don't like the lights shining in my
6	yard in the morning. I don't like the noise at
7	night. I don't like the debris that's left
8	behind, a lot of which ends up in our yard
9	in the woods behind our yard.
10	MR. BARRETT: Did those conditions exist
11	when you bought your house?
12	MR. NAVARO: The landscape and the change
13	in the topography has changed since I bought
14	the house. So they put a field in that
15	extended to the back end of my property, so
16	things are different.
17	MR. BARRETT: For better or for worse?
18	MR. NAVARO: I would venture to say that
19	initially things were better when Mr. Collison
20	promised to make sure that there was clean up
21	around the property, perimeter. That fell by
22	the wayside, so we now end up having trash in
23	the rear of our back yard that comes from
24	Moeller, being adjacent to Moeller.

MR. BARRETT: Is it acceptable to you that

1	the students park on Kennedy Lane?
2	MR. NAVARO: Students do not park on
3	Kennedy Lane.
4	MR. BARRETT: Would it be acceptable to
5	you if they did?
6	MR. NAVARO: There's not room to park on
7	Kennedy Lane.
8	MR. BARRETT: When your son walked to
9	school, did he cut through the back yard?
10	MR. NAVARO: He did cut through the back
11	yard and he was still late for school.
12	MR. BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Navaro.
13	MR. NAVARO: You're welcome. Any other
14	questions?
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, I'd like to
16	pose this to the gentleman. He keeps saying -
17	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: You'll get your
18	chance.
19	MR. MILLER: Wait a minute he can ask a
20	question if he's got a question.
21	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: You weren't sworn in?
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, I wasn't.
23	MR. MILLER: Wait a minute. Are you
24	questioning or are you making a statement?
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm making a

1	statement.
2	MR. MILLER: Well, then wait. You'll get
3	an opportunity. But this would be if you had a
4	question for the witness.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This statement is
6	relevant to what he was saying. That's all
7	right I'll drop it.
8	MR. HYZDU: Can I address a couple of
9	things that Mr. Navaro said?
10	MR. MILLER: Well, wait a minute. Do you
11	have a question for him? This is time that
12	anybody can ask him any questions that they may
13	have which Mr. Barrett has done. You'll be
14	given an opportunity to address anything after.
15	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: You want to wait until
16	everyone has spoken.
17	MR. MILLER: Yeah, once everybody has
18	spoken, they can come back and respond however
19	they may.
20	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: So if there are no
21	other questions.
22	MR. MILLER: I think you're finished, Mr.
23	Navaro.
24	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thanks very much.
25	Sorry about that confusion. Continuing in the

1	first row there's nobody. Second row back
2	here. You were sitting there before. You were
3	next.
4	MS. ENGELHART: I'm just standing here.
5	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: If you don't mind,
6	I'll let her go first. I though she left.
7	MS. ENGELHART: I'm deferring to him.
8	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: All right.
9	MR. ROPP: My name is Bill Ropp and I live
10	at 7336 Timberknoll Drive, that's the red
11	circle on the slide there.
12	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: I need to have your
13	name and address.
14	MR. ROPP: I just gave it.
15	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Have you been sworn
16	in?
17	MR. ROPP: Yes, sir. In follow up to the
18	last meeting, I have four or five points and
19	the first one is why are we here. The second
20	one is I want to rehash the footprint of the
21	lot that I showed in the last meeting where we
22	had the vote. I want to address the safety
23	narrative. I want to talk about Moeller
24	testimonials and I want to talk about property

values. So I'm going to come at this at a

slightly different angle than some of the other presenters.

2.4

So, again, why are we here. This has come up several times tonight. The board's already voted against this project. I was kind of amazed to hear the discussion at the very beginning, but if you have a group of residents that are saying, hey, we won. We presented our case. Now, we're voting again.

And then you have to understand, I've lived here 16 years in my house. And for 15 of those years, we have been constantly in a position where we had to defend our turf against Moeller. And it's always approached as a zero some gain. Where we give something and Moeller takes something, which is really frustrating for the rest of us.

This is the core of my presentation and I want to talk about the footprint. So this shows you, this is an aerial view that shows the entire neighborhood. There's I-71 over on the left. Ronald Reagan up on the top.

Montgomery Road on the right. The box shows the proposed parking lot, which is roughly 300 feet from the new proposed road.

1	Next slide, please. This is an aerial
2	photograph of the trees that will be removed to
3	build a parking lot. And, again, I had
4	initially counted this as 35 trees. I believe
5	Marshall said there was seven. This is what
6	the neighborhood loses.

2.4

Next slide, please. We as residents see somewhat of an evolution of a plan. And we're not sure where it's going. We don't know if Moeller knows where it's going, so we're constantly in a situation where we have to guess where is Moeller going. This shows a perspective view Google Earth photograph of -- down at the bottom is the adjoining part of Moeller's parking lot. You see the tree lot and then the immediate area of the neighborhood. Next slide, please.

Here's what it looks like. This is what it looks like. That's what it looks like when you remove those 70 trees and put in a parking lot. Now, this is my best understanding from looking at the black and white drawings that was posted on the Sycamore Township website. But in realty when you fly a plane over our neighborhood, that's what you're going to see

1	with the existing parking lot. Yeah, there
2	might be a little bit of difference in the
3	buffer zone around the three open sides of it,
4	but that's what you're going to see. Next
5	slide, please.

2.4

We know that a Moeller booster owns this property here. And we as residents wonder because Moeller hasn't shared a plan with us. We don't know if it's opportunistic or it's just evolving, but we have a fear that this parking lot will eventually join at Kennedy. Next slide, please.

We also know and it was interesting that the comment was brought up about Dave
Broxterman's property, but I believe Dave said there have again been offers on his property which would constitute that last block. So, again, not knowing if Moeller is going to come back at us again and say we need more spaces in the future, we don't know and that's not being shared with us. We also know that population, student population of Moeller has really remained pretty flat over the years, over the past several years, but now they need more parking spaces, which is something that's

1 interesting to us. Next slide, please.

2 Here's the box and the red box at the 3 bottom that shows the footprint of the current proposed parking lot. The box in the upper 5 left is if you take that property out and adjoin it to Kennedy and the box on the right is if you take -- if you were to purchase 7 Dave's house. That is a massive amount of 9 asphalt in our neighborhood. We've lost tree 10 canopy. We've lost beauty in the neighborhood. 11 We lost our sound buffer. And once again, we 12 all bought into a residential neighborhood. We 13 understand that Moeller is an exclusion to this 14 residential status. So that's what I bought 15 into 16 years ago and that's what I want to 16 keep. Next slide, please. 17 This is just one additional thing. We

This is just one additional thing. We know this is not Moeller's fault. But if you look at the new roundabout and you look at the parking lot, they're roughly 300 yards apart from each other. But when both of these projects get going, it all adds up to resident headaches. Next slide, please.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

This and it was mentioned earlier on, this is going to be our life for the next three

L	years if not more. So there's going to be
2	if you want to put 117 more men and I say men
3	of Moeller because above the sign going into
1	Moeller it says, "Men of Moeller." Doesn't say
5	children of Moeller. It doesn't say boys of
õ	Moeller. It says, "Men of Moeller." So we're
7	going to introduce 117 more men, young men on
3	our streets and this is what we're already
9	looking at. Next slide.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The safety -- the whole safety issue and several of the speakers have brought it up. We get kind of disturbed when you hear the safety narrative. And, honestly, it's not coming off as being genuine to me. I find it very disingenuous. A lot of times when I go across that bridge into Montgomery, I see a young man of Moeller on his cell phone. I come across that bridge on my bike from one end of that bridge and have the Moeller student on the other end, stop my bike, and sit and wait for him to come and he won't even notice me until he's close enough for me to reach out and touch him. That doesn't sound like somebody who's concerned about their safety. Plus that very same bridge, we have 80-year-old residents that

1	walk across that bridge everyday and ride their
2	bikes across that bridge. So you have to
3	understand this is a two-way street. You're
4	saying you want safety for the men of Moeller.
5	We want safety for residents as well. Next
6	slide, please.
7	And I said this before, why is the safety
8	of Moeller nonresident students more important
9	than resident's safety. This proposal puts 117
10	more teenage men in cars on the streets.
11	They're not children. They're not boys.
12	They're teenage men in cars. What could
13	possibly go wrong. Here's what could go wrong.
14	Males tend to be higher risk takers especially
15	young ones. 71 percent of all traffic
16	fatalities. 70 percent of all pedestrian
17	fatalities. 87 percent of all cyclists
18	fatalities. Marshall, based upon what I've
19	told you last week it's a dangerous situation
20	for a cyclist. And I'm a cyclist with 130,000
21	lifetime miles. I'm not a casual biker, but
22	it's a dangerous situation for somebody to ride
23	their bike around Moeller. And also and I take
24	cyclist accidents very personally. We have two
25	residents of our neighborhood that are sitting

1	with knees that look like grapefruits because
2	they've been hit in cycling accidents. One's a
3	Moeller supporter. One's a supporter of the
4	residents. It didn't happen in our
5	neighborhood, but it happened. Those
6	statistics come from a Kansas State University
7	study done roughly five years ago. Next slide,
3	please.

Moeller testimonials. Not knowing what I was going to present against tonight until we saw Moeller's presentation. Going in, and keep in mind, this presentation was done not knowing what I'd have to present against. But for the last meeting when I called in, I'm not sure who I spoke to, but I thought I had to get on the speaker's list and I was questioned as to why I was going to present and was I a resident. I said, "Yes, I'm a resident and I'm a neighborhood resident and I want to present."

So then I come to find out when I come to the meeting, Moeller had close to a dozen nonresidents testifying in the last meeting.

I also found out that every time a Moeller person testified, they looked at the residents as if we were really bad for just wanting our

1	neighborhood to remain intact which really
2	disturbed me. There was one of those speakers
3	and only one as I could tell lives in our
4	neighborhood. There's only one that was a
5	Sycamore Township resident. So what I was
6	concerned is I left this meeting thinking, gee,
7	a bunch of nonresidents influenced a board
8	vote, a zoning board vote and that really
9	bothered me. I thought if you're going to make
10	a decision it should be based upon the
11	presentations of Moeller and the presentations
12	of the residents counting Moeller's
13	presentation. That's my opinion.
14	The last thing I want to talk about is in
15	the last meeting there was some vague
16	statements made and I can't exactly remember
17	who made them, but they assumed that, well,
18	this parking lot is not going to hurt our
19	property values. Our property appreciation was
20	very strong which is not the case. Next slide,
21	please.
22	And then according to Zillow, my house
23	7336 Timberknoll Drive over the 16 years I've
24	lived there has averaged 2.3 percent. The
25	National Realtors Association says average

1	appreciation is 3.7. Some parts of the country
2	it goes to 6.4. Another exclusion or variance
3	considering this parking lot is not going to
4	help property appreciation. I really don't
5	care about property appreciation. I've lived
6	in seven different states. I've owned five
7	different houses. My wife and I moved back
8	here 20 years ago. We chose this neighborhood
9	to stay in for the rest of our lives.
10	So yes, sir, Moeller's attorney, you're
11	right. We don't went a parking lot in our
12	neighborhood and those are the reasons why.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Are there any
15	questions for Mr. Ropp? Mr. Barrett, any
16	questions, please?
17	MR. BARRETT: Let me ask just a couple of
18	questions.
19	MR. ROPP: Yes, sir.
20	MR. BARRETT: How far away do you live
21	from the site?
22	MR. ROPP: I've calculated roughly one
23	quarter of a mile.
24	MR. BARRETT: So that would be one quarter

of mile to the west?

1	MR. ROPP: Northwest.
2	MR. BARRETT: And would you agree though
3	it would be safer for these Moeller students to
4	be parking on campus as opposed to be parking
5	off campus?
6	MR. ROPP: Based upon what Tom said, I
7	really don't see any difference.
8	MR. BARRETT: You described a condition
9	where Moeller students were walking to and
10	from, they were not paying close attention and
11	you referenced somebody looking at their cell
12	phone, correct?
13	MR. ROPP: Yes, sir.
14	MR. BARRETT: Wouldn't you agree that
15	those people who are walking to and from school
16	when they're parking on campus, that's a safer
17	condition for students?
18	MR. ROPP: I'm sorry, I don't think that's
19	a fair question.
20	MR. BARRETT: And you kept talking about
21	introducing 117 men in your neighborhood.
22	MR. ROPP: Not children. Not boys, men.
23	Because it says, the sign above the entrance to
24	Moeller says, "Enter through these doors enter
25	the men of Moeller." I just want to make sure

```
that we're not trying to convince the board
 1
 2
          these are children -- these are not children.
 3
               MR. BARRETT: You understand they're
          juveniles though; you understand that?
 5
               MR. ROPP: I understand if they're driving
          to school they have car licenses which puts
          them in the driver's seat of a very powerful
 7
          object.
 8
               MR. BARRETT: Again, they're juveniles,
 9
10
          correct?
11
               MR. ROPP: I assume so, but they're not
12
          children.
13
               MR. BARRETT: And you talk about 117
          people. There's no increase in student
14
15
          population by having this parking lot, correct?
               MR. ROPP: I don't understand the
16
17
          question.
18
               MR. BARRETT: These 117 people are still
          going to school at Moeller, correct?
19
20
               MR. ROPP: I don't --
21
               MR. BARRETT: Here's my question: You're
22
          raising an issue about you're objecting to 117
23
          people in your neighborhood.
2.4
               MR. ROPP: Yes, sir. 117 people in cars,
```

young men in cars.

1	MR. BARRETT: But they presently have cars
2	right now, correct?
3	MR. ROPP: I don't know that.
4	MR. BARRETT: They've been parking off
5	campus, correct?
6	MR. ROPP: We don't know that. What I can
7	tell you is that Moeller's a very wealthy
8	school. And when you have wealthy people and
9	they have juveniles, juveniles can drive they
10	buy cars for those juveniles. So as opposed to
11	maybe a place like Sycamore, you may not have
12	the number of students driving because it's not
13	as wealthy a donor base.
14	MR. BARRETT: What I'm trying to clarify
15	is: You understand that 117 people have cars,
16	there's no increase in the number of cars.
17	These kids they park off campus as opposed to
18	on campus.
19	MR. ROPP: Yes, in our neighborhood
20	there's more cars.
21	MR. BARRETT: When you say "in your
22	neighborhood," you're talking about the parking
23	lot, correct?
24	MR. ROPP: No, I'm talking about our

neighborhood.

1	MR. BARRETT: They're not on Kennedy Lane
2	are they?
3	MR. ROPP: They're going to be on
4	Montgomery Road.
5	MR. BARRETT: Yes. They're on Montgomery
6	Road presently, correct?
7	MR. ROPP: But once again, you have a
8	group of people here that we're really
9	concerned. We're going to be here another six
10	months or a year with a parking lot that goes
11	out to Kennedy and we've been given no
12	assurance that that's not going to happen.
13	MR. BARRETT: You understand this board
14	would have to approve to?
15	MR. ROPP: And I understand that. But
16	we're also here for a second vote when we won
17	the last time as well.
18	MR. BARRETT: But you understand this
19	board would have to approve access to the
20	Kennedy Lane for that to happen?
21	MR. ROPP: And I understand that.
22	MR. BARRETT: You understand that's not
23	part of this application?
24	MR. ROPP: I understand that, but why are
25	we here when we already had a vote in our

1	favor? Do you understand that?
2	MR. BARRETT: I do understand that. You
3	understand that the application does not
4	request access to Kennedy Lane?
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The next one could.
6	MR. ROPP: The next one could.
7	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Let's respect both
8	these gentlemen in this conversation.
9	MR. BARRETT: And you understand this
10	board could be a condition on the approval that
11	there be no access to Kennedy Lane?
12	MR. ROPP: We would have to wait and see.
13	MR. BARRETT: Thank you. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you. So, ma'am,
15	did you pass your speaking to him or are you
16	going to speak now as well?
17	MS. ENGELHART: It's my turn.
18	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: You said you passed
19	before. I misunderstood you.
20	MS. ENGELHART: I did. He's finished.
21	It's my turn. I'm Linda Engelhart. I've been
22	sworn in. I live at 9095 Shadetree Drive.
23	MR. MILLER: Can I take care of one
24	housekeeping matter? You getting hard copies
25	of all of these?

1	MR. HOLBERT: I'm keeping everything
2	that's submitted. So everybody knows, if you
3	submit it, I keep it. If you want it back
4	tomorrow, I'll be happy to give it to you, but
5	I'm keeping it until tomorrow. If you request
6	it back, I'll be happy to give it to you.
7	MR. MILLER: Well, we need to have a hard
8	copy or something if they want it back.
9	MR. HOLBERT: Yeah. Oh, I would
10	definitely copy it tomorrow.
11	MS. CLARKE: Since I don't like I'm
12	sorry, Harry.
13	MR. HOLBERT: He said it was okay. I'm
14	sorry, I was just finishing. He said it was
15	okay that I had his, but then she said I had to
16	give it back. So I let each applicant speak
17	for themself.
18	MS. ENGELHART: I just wanted to make
19	certain.
20	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Are you both going to
21	speak at this point?
22	MS. CLARKE: Just because I don't like to
23	be up here by myself.
24	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Just state your name
25	and address.

```
1
              MS. CLARKE: My name is Margee Clarke,
 2
         C-L-A-R-K-E. I live at 7765 Kennedy Lane.
 3
               CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: And we swore you in
         earlier?
 4
 5
              MS. CLARKE: Yes, sir, I was sworn in.
 6
               CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you.
 7
              MS. CLARKE: I'm not going into the
         service though.
 8
 9
               CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: We didn't swear you in
10
         for that reason.
              MS. ENGELHART: Do you have it open?
11
12
              MR. HOLBERT: I do.
13
              MS. ENGELHART: Is it up?
14
              MR. HOLBERT: I wanted to make sure you're
15
         ready.
16
              MS. CLARKE: Before you say something, I
17
         need to say something.
18
               MR. MILLER: Try not to speak over each
19
          other. She's trying to keep track of --
20
               MS. CLARKE: Do you mind? I like to see
21
         you guys and I can't see behind this board.
22
              CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Just remind you please
23
          if you're repeating something --
2.4
              MS. CLARKE: Oh, I don't think I'll be
```

repeating too much.

1	MS. ENGELHART: She's trying to explain
2	that.
3	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: that's already beer
4	put in, make sure you tell us you agree with it
5	and move on. We appreciate it.
6	MS. CLARKE: My questions that I have
7	right now at this present moment is that within
8	the application process the second page of
9	signatures where we had the Archbishop of
10	Cincinnati Trustees property owner signature, I
11	don't have a name underneath that line and I
12	would like to know who the trustee was that
13	signed that. Because on the front page there's
14	7745, we have someone that signed it as the LLC
15	and said member. And we have Marshall signing
16	above it and we have Marshall signing again on
17	the other one. But I don't have a name of the
18	person from the Archbishop of Cincinnati
19	Trustee and I'm just curious who that trustee
20	is.
21	MR. MILLER: I'm lost. Where does it say
22	trustee?
23	MS. CLARKE: Right here. I don't have a
24	name underneath there to know who that trustee

is. That page.

1 MR. MILLER: It appears to me it looks 2 like the same signature as above it. MS. CLARKE: Exactly. And I never -- when 3 I saw that I thought the same thing so I got on 5 the web page of the Archbishop of Cincinnati Trustee page and I didn't see this gentleman's name as being a trustee for the Archbishop of 7 Cincinnati, so I'm not sure. So I need clarification on that. 9 10 MR. MILLER: Can you explain that, Mr. Barrett? 11 MR. BARRETT: The Archbishop of Cincinnati 12 holds title as trustee. It's not a trustee of 13 14 the Archbishop. It's there --15 MS. CLARKE: There are trustees on the 16 website, excuse me, sir. MR. MILLER: Let's not argue just explain 17 18 it. MR. BARRETT: The title is in the name of 19 20 Archbishop of Cincinnati Trustee. 2.1 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: You're saying the 22 archbishop is the trustee? 23 MR. BARRETT: Yes. 2.4 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Yes, okay. So that's

your answer. Go forward.

1	MS. CLARKE: I'm not really satisfied with
2	it, because when I looked up there are
3	trustees, the archbishop there are trustees.
4	There's a whole list of them.
5	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: He just answered your
6	question.
7	MR. MILLER: No. When real estate is
8	titled into a trust
9	MS. CLARKE: Yes.
10	MR. MILLER: it is actually titled in
11	the name of the trustee. So you wouldn't say
12	the Margee Clarke trust. You would say Margee
13	Clarke trustee of the Margee Clarke Trust.
14	MS. CLARKE: Got it. Thank you for
15	clarifying that. One more thing before you get
16	started. I'll pose this to you. When you put
17	it in the application when you posed and put
18	it on the application that the property east of
19	this proposed parking lot went up to the
20	offices on Montgomery Road and you even stated
21	tonight when he said, "It abutted up next to a
22	piece of property."
23	MR. BARRETT: I didn't say that.
24	MS. CLARKE: No, he said he brought it up
25	and you had and said, "Oh, that's just a strip

```
of land."
 1
 2
              MR. BARRETT: No.
 3
              MS. CLARKE: Yes, you did say it was just
          a strip of land.
 5
              MR. BARRETT: Strip of land between the
 6
         offices.
              MS. CLARKE: That strip of land is my
 7
         home. It's my property and you never said
 8
 9
          anything about --
10
              MR. BARRETT: It's a strip of land.
              MS. CLARKE: -- 7765. It is more than a
11
12
          strip of land. It is my home. It is where I
13
         raised my kids. It's where my grandkids come.
14
          It is not a strip of land. It is a piece of
15
         property, a residential piece of property and I
16
         take highly offense to you calling it, "a strip
         of land." Now, I'll calm down.
17
18
              MS. ENGELHART: It's not listed properly
19
          in the filing. Are we ready?
20
              MS. CLARKE: Oh, I'll try.
              MS. ENGELHART: I know. We're irritated.
21
22
               First page. I just want to go over a
23
         little history here. Back in 1958 Moeller was
2.4
         started -- started the ideas of building. At
```

the same time the archdiocese said, "Hey, man,

we got way too much land here." We have all of Gardendale Acres which is the place where we live, Gardendale Acres, and you have all the land off of Glenover that got sold to a builder. I'm really sorry that decision was made and that now you want to encroach into our neighborhood. I'm sorry that the archdiocese didn't have better thought plans and not just allow you to have your 18 acres that you sit on today.

2.4

Since 2005, the neighborhood's been back in front of this BZA multiple times. We came for the field lighting. We came to upgrade the sound system. We came to put banners on light poles. We came to put banners on light poles, and I'm going to tell you this is not the second time we've been here for these two lots of land. We've been here three times. The first time we came was for a parking lot with townhouses in the front. So this is the third time we have been in this building for these two pieces of land. And I'm going to tell you, Pete, or whatever your name is there, didn't tell you the whole truth because he missed that piece where they went and said, "Hey, let's

take this land. We'll take the back half, put 1 2 the townhouses in front." After that is when he decided to sell the piece of land or half 3 the land today. 5 MS. CLARKE: No, no, it was before that. MS. ENGELHART: It was before that? It 7 8 was before that then, well, they couldn't have 9 sold Dave's land. 10 MR. MILLER: Wait a minute. You guys 11 can't be talking at the same time. If you want 12 to sit up there together that's fine --13 MS. CLARKE: I'll behave myself. 14 MR. MILLER: -- but please let her talk 15 and then you can talk. 16 MS. ENGELHART: Anyway, it's the third 17 time we've been here for a parking lot for 18 Moeller. It's not the second. And each time 19 you voted no. 20 MR. MILLER: That's not true, ma'am. The 21 first time it was approved and the neighbors 22 appealed and Moeller dismissed their case. 23 That was about 10, 12 years ago. 2.4 MS. CLARKE: That's right. 2006.

MS. ENGELHART: Since then we reported,

1	again, multiple violations of the sound system
2	to Sycamore Township whenever it happens. We
3	have decimal readings when it occurs and we
4	report it and they come. This is all to prove
5	that Moeller is not a nice neighbor. Moeller
6	is only a good neighbor to us well, I don't
7	know when they're a good neighbor. They only
8	come to us when they want something from us.
9	Namely our land.
10	So since Dave bought his house in 2013,
11	he's been approached aggressively by both Pete
12	and Marshall to rebuy the land from him.
13	You've been approached to buy your land since
14	then as well, correct?
15	MS. CLARKE: Uh-uh.
16	MS. ENGELHART: And 9125 Montgomery Road
17	is constantly being approached as well. That's
18	four acres of land that they're trying to
19	acquire; aggressively trying to acquire. The
20	conversations as the people communicate them to
21	other neighbors is not a nice conversation.
22	It's not a gentle conversation, I'd like to buy
23	your land. I'd like to have first rights to
24	buy your land. It's like, sell me your land.
25	It's not as they say it, it's not a nice

1	conversation. So we know that Moeller is
2	aggressively trying to buy the land of four
3	acres right there at the corner.

2.4

On top of that we have another LLC that's somewhat involved in this that bought a house on Shadetree, four doors down from me. But we feel like the onslaught is coming.

We've asked for the 5 -- and 10-year plan. We have no feedback. We have no answers on where is this going. That's why we said, we're constantly guessing. We are, in fact, constantly guessing. We don't know where they want to go, but we know that the history says, watch out, you're going to be back at the BZA multiple times. So we've been here at least 13 times, I think we've documented, since 2005. Little bit too much for one community to take on. You can go to the next slide.

So this is a word cloud. I ran the land use plan for the south Sycamore area through a word cloud tool. And it basically comes up and shows you your high level words that are used a lot in this plan, right? And your most used words in the south Sycamore plan are single-family housing residential and

1	protecting the redevelopment into
2	nonresidential. Those are your most used
3	words. There's no where in here where you have
4	parking lots, or you have schools. Your
5	primary concern in the south where there is no
6	land to acquire. You know, you have six small
7	pieces of land sitting three inside the Tree of
8	Life property and three against the highway
9	berm off of some portion of 71. There's no
10	property in south Sycamore. You all know that.
11	You have said as your mandate and your
12	zoning regulations say that you should always
13	adhere and go back to your guide which is your
14	land use plan. And your land use plan for
15	south Sycamore says, "Protect Residential
16	Sections."
17	MS. CLARKE: Can I say something? I'm
18	looking at underneath your goals and stuff,
19	which is your Sycamore Township land use plan.
20	And under Policies No. 3. Protect desirable
21	residential development from the adverse
22	affects of nonresidential encroachment.
23	MR. MILLER: Wait, Margee. Are you
24	finished, ma'am?
25	MS. ENGELHART: She's just going to the

- next slide. So I was telling Harry to move to the next slide.
- MR. MILLER: From a legal standpoint, you

 guys are making this very difficult because

 Mr. Barrett has to have the opportunity to

 cross-examine each of you. The court reporter

 is trying to keep track of what each of you are

 saying. So the more you can have your own

 presentation the better.
- 10 MS. CLARKE: I'll let her finish then.
- MS. ENGELHART: That's going to be hard to
- do. You're throwing us out of our thing.
- MR. MILLER: Mr. Barrett, do you have any objection to them continuing on?
- MR. BARRETT: No, they can do whatever they want to do.
- MS. ENGELHART: So basically --
- MS. CLARKE: Is it okay if we go back and forth then, do you mind?
- 20 MR. BARRETT: You can do anything you want to do.
- MS. ENGELHART: I'm not going to talk for these two pages.
- MR. MILLER: Just don't talk over each
 other. She can't understand who's saying what.

1	MS. CLARKE: You can call her L and you
2	can call me M, okay? So one of the things is
3	under here is terminate the expansion of
4	undesirable land use patterns. And for me it's
5	coming back here time and time and again
6	because we got land use changes all the time.
7	At least they keep trying to attempt to do
8	this. But my biggest one of a land use pattern
9	is that Moeller sits on this nice little
10	rectangle piece of land and they want to jut
11	out and have this little peninsula come out and
12	to me that's a really undesirable look. So I
13	just wanted to make that.
14	And then as we stick with the goals for

And then as we stick with the goals for the south Sycamore Township area. Some of your goals have been to promote the stability of the community and the community as we as a neighborhood by preserving the existing residential areas and planning for new compatible uses. In my opinion I don't feel a parking lot within a residential area is compatible. To apply for and maintain a vital compatible land use mix which allows for an attractive and safe residential environment.

Require that the development and redevelopment

1	proposals meets the high standards of design,
2	desired by the community and are compatible
3	with the residential character in that area.

2.4

Preserve the open space or available within the south Sycamore Township area is necessary to protect the public health and safety. Minimize the exposure of residents to excessive noise by requiring a preservation and/or development of vegetation at greenbelts. So you're kind of meeting that.

Maintain the areas residential expansion for residential development through redevelopment and continue to promote the integrity of residential community. Protect residential neighborhoods from adverse impact of proposed development, redevelopment, and land use changes. Protect and enhance the character and visual appearance of the residential neighborhoods. And these are your policies which you wrote.

This is actually a picture of your proposed parking lot, number one. And the next one, this is your parking lot that you're proposing now. To me a parking lot is a parking lot is a parking lot is a parking lot and no matter how

L	much lipstick or how much make up you put on
2	it, it's still a parking lot. So all of the
3	buffering that you put on it is still going to
1	be detrimental to my property and to our entire
<u>-</u>	neighborhood.

2.4

MS. ENGELHART: So it's still a parking lot. We have many concerns. Section 4.21 of your zoning regulations talk about maintaining a suitable environment for family living. We don't believe that a parking lot or possible extensions of this parking lot, I know I shouldn't talk about it, are suitable to our environment. We know that the noise will be there. You can sound buffer all you want, you're still going to have noise coming up above it. All you have to do is look on Twitter, Facebook and just Google Moeller and you can get parking lot wars, talking wars, what not, it will have been — it has happened.

Section 12.9 calls for minimum requirement brought up before about one space for every six students. It says it's a requirement. It doesn't say it's a minimum requirement. The word "minimum" is no where in that section. So if it's one parking lot for every six students,

1	12 visitors, and a parking lot for every staff
2	member, they more than have that today. One
3	for every six students, they only need a
4	hundred and some odd spaces, I think this was
5	brought up before. If it's a minimum, their
6	only regulation should state as a minimum, it
7	doesn't. Therefore, it should be a adhered
8	too, right.
9	On top of that, there is a you have
10	stayed in the buffer. It's still a parking
11	lot. Why should different neighbors, you have

2.4

On top of that, there is a -- you have stayed in the buffer. It's still a parking lot. Why should different neighbors, you have three neighbors that all have a different amount of buffer and the longest amount of buffer, you stop the landscaping halfway down it. You stop the landscaping. You stop the french drains at the lowest portion of the land. Want me to show you on one of your pretty slides here, cause I was watching it. But you can see if you look at the slide that shows you where the French drains are --

black dots are drains.

MS. ENGELHART: This is it? I don't know if that's it. But I'll tell you -- here they talk about the French drain draining in right

Τ	nere. Well, if the French drain starts here
2	and comes is French drain going to flow
3	upwards. I don't think water flows upwards
4	unless you force it. How's it going to get
5	across here, come down, enter here and no
6	French drain, which is why we're so upset that
7	the topography map was not put in with this
8	resolution. 125 feet of Margee's property has
9	no drainage put in, none whatsoever. It is the
10	lowest point of all this land. They're
11	assuming that she's not going that she's
12	going to keep this fence. Margee already has a
13	plan to move the fence across the portion of
14	her yard to keep kids when they're using the
15	pool more confined. So the fence won't be
16	there. This fence certainly doesn't go down
17	there. And there's only 7 feet buffering.
18	Here there's 10, here there's 20, but the
19	biggest issue is the French drain. And I don't
20	believe French drains run up hill. And French
21	drains don't run sideways and French drains you
22	hope will enter here, but they should really
23	enter all the way down here where it's not even
24	shown. That's the biggest thing.

MS. CLARKE: Then the mention of a high

water Table 2 and it is a fact, I actually had
Hamilton County Planning and Development out at
my house for the back yard because I got my
tractor stuck in a place which I'd never get
stuck before. I mean, I've gotten stuck before
until I really watch out where I drive back
there and I got stuck in a spot that it took my
brother and I a hour and a half to get me out,
which is not pleasant when you're ankle deep in
gushy, gushy mud. And I was concerned because
there was standing water and there was stuff
growing. And I even called the board of health
to come out to take a look because I was so
concerned.

And so if you got a high water table and you put a surface over it, that water is still there and it's got to go somewhere where it's going to seep out. And I am the lowest part on that road and I get a lot of issues from -- if that drain up there on the west side of 7745 gets clogged up, then I get even more of a river over there.

And you talk about a berm, which they didn't say how big the berm was going to be, and if it goes all the way around, that water's

1	going to find a way to go this way, that way or
2	this way, and possibly flood Dave's back yard.
3	But it will eventually come to my yard and
4	flood my back yard.
5	And the guy from Hamilton County looked at
6	me and said, "You know what," he said, "You are
7	low and you are flat, and here's what you can
8	do to try to alleviate that." And I said,
9	"Okay, what do I need to do?" He said, "Well,
10	you'll have to put a drain over here. You have
11	to put a drain over here." And so I paid
12	attention and I watched because he said, "I'm
13	going to go and check the one drain," but he
14	never came back to report to me, but I didn't
15	have a problem after that. So was the drain
16	clogged; I have no idea. But I have not had a
17	problem since and we've had a lot of lot of
18	rain because most of the time it goes off.
19	My concern is is if they do put this hard
20	surface on
21	MS. ENGELHART: You have a right to your
22	property.
23	MS. CLARKE: the water's got to go
24	somewhere and a little tiny French drain with

some rock is not going to be enough when it

- 1 stops midway in my yard.
- MS. ENGELHART: We don't believe the
- drainage is sufficient to not flood Margee's
- 4 yard. The fence should continue the whole way
- 5 through. And really there's no reason that not
- 6 everybody can't have a 20-foot buffer other
- 7 than they want 117 spaces in there or more.
- 8 Let me move on.
- 9 So at the beginning of Mr. Barrett's talk
- 10 tonight, he referred to Moeller 17 times as an
- institution. They are an institution, but more
- importantly in your zoning code, you talk
- about, and I get to this a little bit later,
- they should be considered an institution and
- 15 not just a school and not just a -- because
- with 18 acres of land and when you have
- 70 percent impermeable surface, their
- impermeable surface ratio is well over 50
- 19 percent comes into the standards and you've
- said in your zoning code as institutional
- requiring 50-foot buffers. We'll get to that
- some more later, but I needed to get that out
- now. So we're going to --
- MR. SCHEVE: Can I interrupt you? How's
- 25 the court reporter doing? She's working her

fingers overtime here. She's been typing for hours. She's got the hardest job of anybody.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. ENGELHART: I know I talk too much.

So one of the things that's been great that

Moeller's done in bringing us back here 13

times since 2005 is I betcha we know the zoning

regs as well as Harry knows them. I betcha we

do.

What I find funny is when you look at your conditional use specific criteria daycare which you've said is a place where one to six children go, needs a 50-foot barrier before you go to a property line. A school which isn't really defined doesn't need any. A university needs 100. Moeller's sitting here with a sizeable property of impermeable space equivalent to Jewish Hospital which is interesting to be brought up and as they classified as an institution. It's just -- it makes -- your zoning code just doesn't make sense in how you're going to make a daycare one to six people, kids, with parents dropping them off twice a day, 12 visits, needs a 50-foot buffer and Moeller doesn't need any. Go figure.

MS. CLARKE: To clarify there was a thing back and forth between these guys. And institutional uses hospital is underneath that as well as schools. So and I just reiterate the one parking space per employee, 12 visitor spaces and one space per six. And I went through, you know, I think Marshall already said, they have 390 parking spaces. They say they only have two visitor parking spaces so they're minus 10 on that. But if you got 880 students and you divide it by 6, it's only 147 parking spaces which totals up to 265. they've got more than adequate enough parking spaces to accommodate the amount of students that they do have.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. ENGELHART: So one thing 12.6 talks about interior landscaping requirements. Now, I heard something tonight that because this didn't have street frontage it didn't need interior landscaping requirements. I'm going to bring this up anyway. They have 240 spaces because they rent 150 so that's not their problem. They have -- and if I look at the existing requirements of three trees, three canopy trees for every space, for every 10

1	spaces, and three shrubs for every tree, I can
1	spaces, and three shrubs for every tree, I can
2	tell you Moeller doesn't satisfy that today. I
3	counted. I went on their property. I counted
4	how many canopy trees are on their property.
5	There are 42 and I would take five off because
6	I really think they're on the All Saints
7	property which is at the entrance of Moeller.
8	So I would encourage you to go check out that
9	zoning violation.
10	Anyway this is more about canopy trees.

Anyway this is more about canopy trees.

Canopy trees are important. An Carver Vidi, a conifer are not canopy trees. Canopy trees are there to shade. The big canopy shades. It takes away some of the heat. I see that they have a couple of canopy trees in the design. I don't think it's enough. I really wish there were more. I wish there was more interior to it. It's still a parking lot. But canopy trees help reduce the carbon footprint. This parking lot does nothing to decrease the carbon footprint that we all should be worried about that will sizeably increase it.

Anyway I'm going to get back to 90 percent of Moeller's property is in some form of a hardscape. And I am saying plastic on the

1	earth is a hardscape. It is not natural. So
2	you can say 90 percent of Moeller's property is
3	an imperviable surface. That's a ratio of
4	almost it is 90. It's 90. That's higher
5	than most of your institutions today. So how
6	can you still define it as residential in a
7	school when they are our worst enemy. They
8	have more hard space than Jewish Hospital has.
9	Jewish Hospital went up in the parking lot so
10	that they didn't keep adding on property. I
11	just think that when you look at the 18 acres
12	they're not good stewards of their existing
13	land and they want more and they want a lot
14	more of it. They want four more acres of land.
15	I really encourage you to relook at what
16	is an institution and what should be classified
17	as an institution when you're doing review of
18	your zoning because this is an institution. It
19	is a big, big piece of hardscape. There's very
20	little green in that picture, very little, and
21	most of it's fake. Do you want to say
22	anything? We're going to go to the next slide.
23	MS. CLARKE: Well, can I say some things?
24	I agree with what everybody said about the

safety issues, but the one thing that hasn't

1	been mentioned yet is that the cross country
2	team still runs up and down that area. The
3	conditioning of the basketball team and also
4	the track team. So they're okay with their
5	sports teams running up and down, because in
6	the summertime I'm up in the morning and it's
7	6:30 in the morning those boys are out running
3	So it's right there at the beginning of rush
9	hour traffic.

Also, I'm going down with this letter that's right here, too. And underneath here it says, 7745 and 7755 both parcels are under the control of the Archbishop Moeller High School. I don't think the LLC is quite underneath that yet, so I wanted to be clear on that.

MS. ENGELHART: The only piece that's under the control is the back half of 7745. It says --

MR. SCHEVE: Ma'am, I don't want to be rude, but you're starting to be repetitious and it's 11:30. I think there's more people that want to talk. I don't want to cut you short, but I think we get you don't like the plan. We get why you don't like it. So is there a way you can speed the process up a little bit. I'm

not trying to be rude. I don't want to cut you short.

3 MS. CLARKE: I understand. We got one shot to try to prove our side. It's like we 5 have to come here and keep -- I'm going to speak for myself. I have to come here and 7 defend my life style. And I really -- I'm as mad as a hornet because I have to come back 9 here time and again to say I don't really like 10 This is what -- I've lived there for 27 11 I keep up my landscaping. I keep up years. 12 what I do. God love -- I have to complain to 13 get the grass cut. And then I read the 14 maintenance issue that come up and their 15 responsible for maintenance. When I look on 16 the complaint thing, they have one year to 17 comply. So if I complain in July, they don't 18 have to do a darn thing until the next July.

So I love where I live. I love -- it has nothing to do with landscaping, it's just where I love. I did buy there with the offices next to me. I did buy there with the parking lot across the street from me. I did buy there with Moeller in my back yard, but I don't appreciate the bullying that I feel I have to

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

contend with every time something comes up that they want to do. This is my sacred space and I don't feel that they have a right to think when you have it written down how many parking spaces are a true recommendation to come and ask for more and more and more. We have to 7 come and we have to take our time, our energy, 8 our sleepless nights, our high blood pressure and come and defend my right to live in a 10 peaceful family orientated.

1

2

3

5

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

They are not part of our community when you have not one, not two, but three no parking signs in their parking lot do not park or we'll tow you away. They have a fence all the way around their ball field. They have three gates that lock with a pad lock. That is not inclusive. That is exclusive of a neighborhood.

I cannot walk through their property because I'm not welcomed, at least I feel when there's a fence I'm not welcomed. I can walk down the street. I can walk into somebody's neighborhood because fences aren't there to block me out. So I don't appreciate someone coming and trying to force down me that I have

- 1 to do something. It's my sacred space. That's
 2 all I can call it.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: We understand your 4 feeling. You made it very clear.
- MS. ENGELHART: So in my mind -- the next
 one. In my mind this is an entitlement issue.

 I don't think they really need spaces. I think
 there's another reason why they want spaces.

 It could be an additional building, I don't
- know. It's not -- parking is not an
 entitlement. Parking is a privilege in this
 world. Land is prescious particularly in the

south section of Sycamore.

2.4

If you look at Moeller compared to all the other or most of the other schools in this neighborhood, Moeller with the same amount of boys as Elder High School, very comparable high school. Elder has 10 acres of land and four of those lands are for the on site stadium. So they sit on less than 7 acres with the same amount of kids, actually, more by a few. The average home prices around all the other archdioceses schools are one fifth the value of our surrounding neighborhood, and I went with a half mile out on each side of Moeller, each

1	side of LaSalle, each side of Roger Bacon, all
2	the way down the list. Every archdiocese in
3	school, and by the way the ones in yellow are
4	truly private Catholic schools. All the
5	archdiocese in schools have very low housing
6	values around them. Do they go out and by all
7	the land, yes, they could. Do they, no,
8	because they like their neighbors.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When you impact our neighborhood, you're impacting housing prices that are very high. We bought high. They're staying high. They may not be accelerating but they still are high. They're going to deflate our values much faster than you would ever deflate anywhere else in the city. And what I find funny is girls schools historically are undercontributed to compared to boy schools by a long shot because men generally control the money in the families, right, and women are underpaid all those reasons. Seton was able to go out and build a four-story parking garage to solve their parking problems. We told Moeller last time go up or go down, don't go out. Go up. They said, "No, it's too expensive." Seton's a small little school. Sits on less than five

L	acres of land. Has next to no kids in there,
2	but they were able to secure the funds to build
3	a four-story upwards garage to solve their
1	parking issues. I encourage Moeller to look at
5	that. Moeller said, "No, we don't want to look
5	at that."

2.4

Moeller has 18 acres of land. They have been bad stewards of that land. Clearly sports -- they have three sports arenas and two football fields. Any of those could be replaced with a garage. Particularly that one in the back.

Anyway, I think we're just tired of coming here when Moeller is not being creative in their solutions. Parking is a privilege and we should not have to pay for that privilege.

School buses are the safest way to get to school. And if the people have made a decision to go to Moeller that live outside of this area where the school busing is done, then that's the parent's decision to do that and they need to pony up and drive their kids to school and pick them up, but it is their decision. It's not our penalty because somebody from Batavia wants to send their kids to school here. Just

not our problem. Thank you for listening to 1 2 me. I know I'm noisy. MS. CLARKE: I have one more thing. 3 Mr. Barrett put this -- this is used probably 5 the lowest intensity used possibly or practical for this site and I'm going to disagree with 7 that, because they can do outdoor activities on 8 that property, which I mentioned the last time. 9 The art department can be there. Science can 10 be there. Outdoor masses can be there. 11 Meditation can be done there. And it says 12 there will be no signed no ongoing activities. 13 The no ongoing activities is driving the cars 14 in and out and it was already spoken that the 15 cars are there any where starting -- I have 16 seen cars coming there at 5:30 in the morning 17 and leaving there after 11:00 at night. And it 18 is -- I have to be honest with you, I am trying 19 to keep track of it. There is only one day 20 that a few cars came through and that was 21 July 5th of this past year. Otherwise, you 22 have cars 365 days a year. I drove over there 23 last Thanksgiving cause I thought what are all

the cars and there was some big fancy party

going on inside that back room or back building

2.4

- that they have. And so it is not just for 1 2 school use. It is for extracurricular and everything else. 3 4 MR. MILLER: Any questions, Mr. Barrett? 5 MS. CLARKE: I -- what --MR. MILLER: I thought you were finished. I was going to see if he had any questions. 7 MS. CLARKE: You know I can go on and on 9 and on. I really appreciate you guys being 10 here tonight and rehashing this over with us. 11 MR. BARRETT: Let me just ask a couple of
- 12 questions.

 13 Mrs. Engelhart, you live on Shadetree,
- 14 right?
 15 MS. ENGELHART: I live on Shadetree which
- 16 means I have to drive past this everyday.
- 17 MR. BARRETT: About quarter a mile away
- 18 from the site?
- MS. ENGELHART: I drive past it multiple times a day.
- 21 MR. BARRETT: You live to the west of the
- 22 proposed site, right?
- MS. ENGELHART: Yes, I do.
- MR. BARRETT: About a quarter a mile away?
- MS. ENGELHART: Yeah, long enough away

1	that we still hear particularly the
2	announcements of the football team, 80
3	decimals.
4	MR. BARRETT: Now, you indicated how
5	long have you lived there?
6	MS. ENGELHART: I've lived there for 19
7	years.
8	MR. BARRETT: You indicated that Moeller
9	has been bad stewards of this property; is that
10	right?
11	MS. ENGELHART: I said they have been bad
12	stewards in the management of their property ir
13	deciding how to use their 18 acres of land and
14	that is a statement not just done by me, it was
15	said by one of the board members last time we
16	were here as well.
17	MR. BARRETT: Can you explain why you
18	would moved near Moeller High School if you
19	thought they were bad stewards?
20	MS. ENGELHART: At the time I didn't know
21	they were bad stewards. I was a single mom. I
22	was looking for a house. I found a house that
23	I could fix up and that was in Sycamore
24	Township where I wanted my son to go to
25	Sycamore Schools.

1	MR. BARRETT: And when did you find out
2	they were bad stewards?
3	MS. ENGELHART: In how many times have we
4	been here since 2005. I bought my house in
5	2000. We've been back here at least 13 times
6	since 2005.
7	MR. BARRETT: So it wasn't until you lived
8	there for five years before you realized they
9	were bad stewards; is that right?
10	MS. ENGELHART: I don't know when we knew
11	that they were bad stewards. Anybody can look
12	at that compared to any other archdiocese
13	school and say I don't think you're effective
14	stewards of the 18 acres of land that you use.
15	The only other archdiocese school that comes
16	anywhere close is LaSalle. And the only reason
17	why they come close is because they bought
18	17 acres in 2007 of semiusable land down the
19	side of their space and did sizeable work on
20	that.
21	MR. BARRETT: Mrs. Clarke, let me ask you
22	to clarify a couple of things?
23	MS. CLARKE: Sure.
24	MR. BARRETT: So the board can see this,
25	you see this aerial photograph? See this?

1	MS. CLARKE: Yeah.
2	MR. BARRETT: And this is your property
3	right here, correct?
4	MS. CLARKE: Correct.
5	MR. BARRETT: And your house is up here,
6	correct?
7	MS. CLARKE: Correct.
8	MR. BARRETT: And right in this area here
9	is your detached garage?
10	MS. CLARKE: Correct.
11	MR. BARRETT: And all along east of your
12	property line there's office parking, correct?
13	MS. CLARKE: Correct.
14	MR. BARRETT: And there's no real buffer
15	there, correct?
16	MS. CLARKE: There's trees and bushes and
17	weeds.
18	MR. BARRETT: How does that parking all
19	along your east property line affect you?
20	MS. CLARKE: It doesn't affect me. And
21	the reason it doesn't affect me so that you
22	know is that they are businesses. This is an
23	attorney. This is they manage property and
24	this is an attorney. They're grownups that
25	don't honk their horn.

1	MR. BARRETT: So these businesses which
2	are all zoned
3	MS. CLARKE: And they're all there Monday
4	through Friday, 8:00 in the morning till maybe
5	5:00 or 6:00 in the evening. No one is there
6	in the evening after 6:00.
7	MR. BARRETT: Just so we're clear then
8	MS. CLARKE: Yes.
9	MR. BARRETT: these businesses don't
10	bother you at all?
11	MS. CLARKE: No.
12	MR. BARRETT: And their parking right
13	along your east property line, doesn't bother
14	you at all?
15	MS. CLARKE: No.
16	MR. BARRETT: And you understand that when
17	I was referring to a strip of land I was
18	referring to this property east of this red
19	line and west of the offices, correct? You
20	understand that's what I meant?
21	MS. CLARKE: No, I didn't understand what
22	you meant, because on your thing what you wrote
23	was that this property abutted up next to this.
24	And when I say
25	MR. BARRETT: I did not write that.

1	MS. CLARKE: Well, let me see what you did
2	write here if you don't mind, we'll just get it
3	exactly how you wrote it so we're all on the
4	same page here. "The subject property is to
5	the west of the offices fronting on Montgomery
6	Road. It's south of the residents fronting on
7	the south side of Kennedy Lane and is east of
8	the residents along Kennedy Cove."
9	MR. BARRETT: That's correct.
10	MS. CLARKE: It is not correct.
11	MR. BARRETT: It is correct.
12	MS. CLARKE: It is not abutting up to the
13	offices.
14	MR. BARRETT: Read it slowly.
15	MS. CLARKE: "The subject property is to
16	the west of the offices fronting on Montgomery
17	Road."
18	MR. BARRETT: Isn't correct it is to the
19	west?
20	MS. CLARKE: You want to know something
21	semantics yes, you're absolutely correct. I
22	will say that, but you know something you know
23	how that makes me feel, it makes me feel
24	invisible. That my property, that my home, and
25	that I don't exist and I don't appreciate it.

1	MR. BARRETT: Let me ask you one last
2	question. Are you the Margee Clarke that
3	Mr. Peter Kimener was talking about?
4	MS. CLARKE: I am.
5	MR. BARRETT: Thank you. That's all I
6	have.
7	MS. CLARKE: So now that he brought that
8	up, I will bring that up. I did get in a
9	conversation in 2009. I had a contract with
10	them to finally sell in 2009 to 2013. I chose
11	the closing date which was 3/13/13. I just
12	thought it sounded kind of cool, so that would
13	be a good closing date. The reason why I
14	didn't close is because they contacted me and
15	didn't turn in some of their paperwork. That
16	was the final reason why not. So I had been
17	working with them for all of these years.
18	I had all of my furniture in two pods
19	outside of my driveway, they forgot to tell you
20	that. I had all intentions of moving, but then
21	I got a sign not to go. I had no idea. I
22	wasn't paying any attention to the calendar. I
23	didn't know the contract was null and void
24	because it was after the 13th, but I didn't

sell. And you know what, I now have a gorgeous

1	pool in my back yard, thank you guys for. And
2	I hunkered on in, hunkered on in, and I wanted
3	to continue to be my space for my girls to come
4	back that they can continue they can grow up
5	there. And I'm sorry you know
6	MR. MILLER: We're getting off the
7	subject. It's late. There's other people that
8	would like to speak.
9	MS. CLARKE: I need some coffee.
10	MR. MILLER: Margee, go sit down.
11	MS. ENGELHART: Are you finished with me,
12	too, Mr. Barrett?
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I had a question
14	for Margee. Margee, you said that the
15	property the properties next to you, you
16	said you had no problems with them. I remember
17	some light issue you had. You've had issues
18	with them; haven't you?
19	MS. CLARKE: Yes.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've had
21	landscape issues? You've had light issues?
22	MS. CLARKE: Those are you're right,
23	you're right, they are. But you want to know
24	something, I handle them. I talk to Harry
25	about it. I go over, I introduce myself to

1	people. I tell people I am who I am and I
2	speak the truth and I speak with integrity,
3	which I don't feel but if I got an issue, I
4	face it head on with people that I have it
5	with. So if I had an issue with lighting
6	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Thank you.
7	MS. GLASSMEYER: I think this man was
8	he was supposed to go before she did.
9	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: That's right. We had
10	already crossed over there.
11	MR. MILLER: How long do you intend to go
12	tonight?
13	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: I didn't intend to go
14	this long, but I thought we were going to move
15	along.
16	MR. MILLER: Well, I mean, I can literally
17	see being here a couple more hours and I don't
18	think anybody wants to do that.
19	MR. SCHEVE: How many more people are
20	going to speak?
21	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Then we'll continue
22	it. Then we'll continue it.
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can't we limit the
24	amount of time per person?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What about the

1	residents?
2	MR. MILLER: Let's continue.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's not fair to
4	let Margee talk and not let the residents talk.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They went an hour.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There should be
7	some protocol to running a meeting, you know.
8	MR. SCHEVE: We didn't limit a time before
9	so we can hardly start limiting it now. It
10	wouldn't be fair to the people that have
11	already spoken.
12	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: So we'll continue it
13	to the next meeting. That's the protocol if
14	you want to call that to order if that's what
15	you're suggesting when we didn't think we were
16	going to take as long as we took here.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Then put us back in
18	the same speaking order.
19	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: We'll do our best.
20	MR. SCHEVE: You put yourself in the same
21	seating order.
22	MR. MILLER: Well, and that's the point
23	because of the rules of what happens if this
24	matter ends up in court, we have a problem if
25	we've not allowed everybody to say what they

Τ	want to say. So we've got it's up to you
2	guys to determine how much do you need to say
3	that hasn't been said before. We're not going
4	to limit you. So you can do that accordingly,
5	but there's probably 20 more people that raised
6	their hand that want to talk and I hate to not
7	get this done tonight.
8	MR. SCHEVE: When are we going to continue
9	it to? I don't want to come back in a month
10	from now because I won't remember what was said
11	a month from now.
12	MR. MILLER: That's up to the board if you
13	want
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just have one
15	thing, some people from the neighborhood even
16	have an attorney here and they're paying for an
17	attorney to be there. I would think they
18	should be able to speak tonight.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's not up to you.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That was their
21	choice.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's why we have
23	people up there to make these decisions like
24	that.

MR. SCHEVE: I'm sort of inclined to

Τ	agree. They shouldn't be forced to pay an
2	attorney twice. Who's the attorneys?
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would prefer to
4	come back, because honestly right now I'm
5	tired. You're tried. We're all tired. I
6	wouldn't trust any decisions that we were
7	making. I don't trust myself to be able speak
8	as eloquently as I could ordinarily. Maybe I'm
9	the oldest one in the room and I'm the only one
10	who's getting tired, but I really it's time to
11	go home and continue this in the future. I
12	know that people want to have a decision made,
13	but I just don't think we can do it tonight.
14	MR. SCHEVE: Mr. Barrett, when would be a
15	good time for you to come back?
16	MR. BARRETT: I'll accommodate your
17	schedule as long as I don't have a conflict.
18	MR. SCHEVE: I know we can't do it Tuesday
19	because you and I are in court on Wednesday.
20	I'd like to do it as soon as possible.
21	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: We can't just
22	establish a willy-nilly date, we have to
23	announce, right. We have to announce it. We
24	have to give public notice. These people have
25	to schedule.

1	MR. MILLER: I'm out of town the first
2	week of September. You're looking probably
3	close to your next BZA meeting anyway.
4	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: We haven't established
5	our resolution date even for the first case we
6	have.
7	MR. SCHEVE: We got to meet within the 21
8	days on the first case. Why don't we set this
9	for that time?
10	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: That's what I'm
11	guessing according to the township.
12	MR. SCHEVE: We got to rule on the frist
13	case within 21 days. Why don't we set this
14	case for the same time?
15	MR. SCHOLTZ: That would be while you're
16	gone.
17	MR. MILLER: I can have somebody else
18	here.
19	MR. SCHEVE: Well, is it critical that
20	you're here?
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
22	MR. MILLER: Thank you for that vote of
23	confidence. I mean, I probably should be with
24	everything that's going on.

MR. SCHEVE: When is your mediation on the

1	other case?
2	MR. MILLER: 29th.
3	MR. SCHEVE: 29th of August?
4	MR. MILLER: Yes.
5	MR. SCHEVE: It might make sense to wait
6	till after that time anyway.
7	MR. MILLER: It would make sense to wait
8	until after that, but as far as your other time
9	frame, you're going to be off because I'm not
10	here the week of September 2nd.
11	MR. BARRETT: I am available next week if
12	you want to do it next week.
13	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Our next meeting is
14	going to be September 16th, but we'll have a
15	special meeting in between.
16	MR. SCHEVE: If we continue the meeting,
17	we have to notify people by publication or just
18	the people that are here?
19	MR. HOLBERT: We will just do it all over
20	again.
21	MR. MILLER: Actually, I think if you
22	continue it, I think you only have to you've
23	already noticed the hearing. If they're not
24	here to find out that it's continued, I don't
25	think that's an issue for us. Although I would

```
make every attempt. I would send out another
 1
 2
          notice, but I don't think your stuck on the
 3
          10-day notice --
               MR. SCHEVE: So we could do it next week
 5
          then.
 6
               MR. MILLER: -- that the code requires.
              MR. SCHEVE: So we could do next week.
 7
 8
              MR. MILLER: I think you could.
 9
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not here,
10
          because I already had to move a business trip.
11
               MR. MILLER: Well, Kathy, she really needs
12
          to be here. She's actually part of the
13
          litigation of the first case.
14
               MR. SCHEVE: You represent the intervener
          in the first case?
15
               MR. MILLER: She is the intervener.
16
17
          you have to do your 21 days, that's the 9th and
18
          I can't do it on the 9th. So I would do that
19
          and then set it for -- you have anything else
20
          coming up, Harry, on the 16th?
21
               MR. HOLBERT: September or August?
```

MR. MILLER: Do you have any cases on that

MR. HOLBERT: We got BZA 6:30. That's all

MR. MILLER: September.

22

23

2.4

I got.

1	docket?
2	MR. HOLBERT: Yeah, we've got either two
3	or three already on the schedule.
4	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Yeah, it doesn't sound
5	like we're going to be able to do it in
6	between, does it? So all we can do is continue
7	it to the next meeting and those three will
8	have to be informed it will be after we
9	complete this case because that's always the
10	rule, right? We handle this case that's
11	continued first because it's considered old
12	business versus new business, right?
13	MR. HOLBERT: Doug, are you going to be
14	able to do the 16th?
15	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: September 16th.
16	MR. HOLBERT: I really don't want to
17	switch legal in the middle of this. I'd rather
18	you be here at all of them if possible.
19	MR. MILLER: Well, I mean, I can read the
20	transcript, but it's still not the same.
21	MR. HOLBERT: Yeah, it's not the same.
22	MR. MILLER: I'm okay on the 16th.
23	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: At least we all have
24	our schedules for that. You could be losing
25	some of us if we pick another date.

1	MR. HOLBERT: Other than the 16th?
2	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Right. That's already
3	scheduled. We'll have to agree on the 21-day
4	hearing to get here for the resolution.
5	MR. HOLBERT: Doug, can we if the
6	applicant asks to go outside of that 21 days;
7	can we do that?
8	MR. MILLER: I would not risk it, not if
9	there's a possibility of an appeal.
10	MR. HOLBERT: Well, then we got it on the
11	agenda for the 16th.
12	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: So in that case we'll
13	continue this until Monday, September 16th.
14	Will be starting once again at 6:30 and this
15	will be the first item of old business, I
16	believe, let's see, other than the resolution.
17	No, the resolution will be passed. So this
18	will be the first item.
19	MR. SCHEVE: So then do we have a special
20	meeting before that to deal with the first
21	case?
22	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Right.
23	MR. MILLER: You need to have a motion and
24	a vote on that
25	CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Do I hear

```
1
               MR. MILLER: -- to continue this in
 2
          progress.
 3
               CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Based on that input.
 4
               MR. SCHEVE: And I'll move given the time
 5
          which is almost midnight and I think there were
          eight or nine people indicate they still want
 7
          to speak that we continue the case in progress
          until September 16th.
 9
               MR. SCHOLTZ: I'll second.
10
               CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Mr. Secretary.
11
              MR. SCHOLTZ: Mr. Scheve?
12
              MR. SCHEVE: Yes.
13
              MR. SCHOLTZ: Mr. Leugers.
14
              MR. LEUGERS:
                            Yes.
15
              MR. SCHOLTZ: Mr. Eichmann?
16
               CHAIRMAN EICHMANN: Yes.
              MR. SCHOLTZ: Mr. Heidel?
17
18
              MR. HEIDEL: Yes.
19
              MR. SCHOLTZ: Mr. Scholtz; yes.
20
               CHAIRMAN EICHMANN:
                                   Thank you very much.
21
          So as I said the date of the next meeting is
22
          Monday, September 16, 2019. And we'll continue
23
          this.
2.4
                   (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.)
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF OHIO :
3	: SS. COUNTY OF HAMILTON :
4	I, La Cartha J. Pate, the undersigned, a duly
5	qualified notary public within and for the State of
6	Ohio, do hereby certify that the above pages were
7	transcribed by means of computer under my
8	supervision; that I am neither a relative of any of
9	the parties or any of their counsel and have no
10	interest in the result of this action.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	and official seal of office at Cincinnati, Ohio,
13	this 9th day of September, 2019.
14	
15	To Contho I Data Natara Dublic
16	La Cartha J. Pate-Notary Public State of Ohio
17	My Commission expires:
18	June 18, 2022.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	